

# Annual Report of The Director of Public Health 2018

## *Healthy Housing for the Third Age: Improving Older People's Health Through Housing*

### Executive Summary



**Editor:**  
Ian Wake, Director of Public Health

**Authors:**  
Andrea Clement, Assistant Director and Consultant in Public Health  
Maria Payne, Senior Programme Manager: Health Intelligence  
Nicola Smith, Public Health Information Analyst  
Katie Powers, Public Health Graduate Trainee  
Kelly Clarke, Public Health Information Support Officer

**November 2018**



# Foreword



This is my third Annual Public Health report, and this year following discussion with a wide range of stakeholders across the council including colleagues in Planning, Regeneration, Communities, Adult Social Care and Housing we have chosen to concentrate on the topic of Older People's Health and Housing.

There is a wide body of evidence that shows the link between good housing and health. Thurrock has a growing and ageing population, and significant opportunity and plans for regeneration, including the building of new homes. Evidence suggests that issues related to accessibility, affordable warmth, managing gardens, maintenance requirements and running costs, and in some cases isolation from facilities, services and friends and family can make the existing homes of the population unsuitable for their needs in older age. As a local authority, our ambitious place making agenda provides a once in a lifetime opportunity creating attractive housing and communities that meet the needs of our population as they age, and keep them as healthy and independent for as long as possible.

Thurrock is about to develop an Older People's Housing Strategy, and I hope that this report will be a useful resource in informing this vital piece of strategic planning.

Finally, I would like to thank Andrea Clement, Assistant Director and Consultant in Public Health who has led production of the main report, and to the members of my team and wider council officers, who have contributed to its production  
**Ian Wake, Director of Public Health, November 2018.**



## TO FOLLOW

Councillor James Halden, Cabinet Portfolio Holder for Education and Health.

# Chapter 1:

## *Introduction*



# 1. Introduction

One of the main goals of our Health and Wellbeing Strategy is to make sure Thurrock provides “Healthier Environments” and this encompasses ensuring that homes are developed that keep people well and independent and that strong, well connected communities are built.

There is a wide body of evidence that shows the link between good housing and health. Housing is widely accepted to be a key determinant of health and can impact positively and negatively on an individual’s physical and mental health, in turn affecting the demand for and use of health and social care resources. The housing and health link becomes increasingly important as we age, with Older People spending an average of 80% of their time at home.

Thurrock has a growing and ageing population. Nationally the population is living longer, albeit not necessarily healthier, lives. Within Thurrock, the over 65yrs+ population is projected to grow by 5% by 2020, and potentially by 46% by 2035. Evidence suggests that issues related to accessibility, affordable warmth, managing gardens, maintenance requirements and running costs, and in some cases isolation from facilities, services and friends and family can make the existing homes of the population unsuitable for their needs in older age.

Given the growing and ageing population in Thurrock, this report aims to answer the following four key questions for the population aged 65+:

1. What impact will demographic change have on the needs for new and existing housing stock across all tenures in the next 20 years?
2. What types of housing do our elderly population want and what are the impacts of choosing to move to a home more suitable for later life?
3. When considering a move to more suitable housing, what would make the option attractive to our older population?
4. What impacts does housing have on health and how can we enhance the positives and mitigate against the negatives? And how can we ensure they are better understood by those affected, thereby enabling them to better care for themselves?

There are five main categories of housing (figure 1). This report only considers accommodation options that provide a self-contained unit of accommodation (kitchen, bathroom, toilet behind a front door which only that household can use). This covers ‘mainstream’ housing options, sheltered housing schemes and specialist retirement housing schemes that provide self-contained units of accommodation alongside communal facilities (lounges, dining rooms etc.) and care packages. Residential and nursing home provision falls outside the scope of this report but were discussed in detail in the 2016 Annual Public Health Report on a sustainable adult health and care system for Thurrock.

This report is organised into seven chapters, as shown in figure 2. Chapters two to six deal with specific topics relating to the complex issue of older people’s housing and health.

Chapter seven aims to bring together the learning throughout this report in order to answer the four key questions above, and make recommendations for health and housing policy moving forward.

Figure 1 – The five main categories of housing





Figure 2 – How this report is organised



# Chapter 1. Introduction

## 1.1 How does housing impact on health?

The relationship between housing and older people's health and wellbeing is complex one, encompassing the issues of cold/fuel poverty, air quality, discharge from hospital, falls, mental health and economic factors.<sup>1</sup> These are demonstrated in figure 3. Accessible and well designed homes and neighbourhoods can significantly enhance health and wellbeing<sup>2</sup> Conversely, vulnerable people aged over 75 are the group most likely to be living in poor housing.<sup>3</sup>

The current UK 'housing crisis' has been well documented in the media. However recent research commissioned by *Sky News*<sup>4</sup> identified that the UK is in fact facing five different types of housing crisis, playing out simultaneously across the country. (Figure 4). Thurrock is ranked 45<sup>th</sup> worst out of 390 local authority areas in terms of lack of supply. Affordability, distribution, quality and demand rate comparatively better at 261<sup>st</sup>, 345<sup>th</sup>, 326<sup>th</sup> and 309<sup>th</sup> respectively.


Figure 3: The Relationship Between Housing and Health



### Relationship between Housing and Health

#### Excess winter deaths (EWD) and cold related ill health

- Cold homes have a serious impact on older people's health
- The Marmot review (2011) found a strong relationship between cold temperatures and cardiovascular / respiratory diseases.
- Residents who live in cold homes have a 20% greater risk of EWD
- Cold housing can increase the level of minor illnesses, exacerbate existing conditions and negatively effect mental health
- More than 90% of EWD occur in the 60+ age group.



#### Indoor Air Quality

- People living in damp mouldy homes are more likely to experience health problems e.g respiratory infections.
- Exposure to house dust mites can trigger allergic reactions such as eczema; repeated exposure can lead to asthma.
- Insufficient ventilation in houses can lead to increased indoor pollutants such as radon, carbon monoxide and nitrogen dioxide.



#### Housing, hospital discharge and reduction of re-admissions

- Older people discharged to unsafe, cold, unsuitable homes are more likely to return to hospital
- Older people's health is better if they are discharged when medically ready, addressing housing shortcomings is key in effective hospital discharge.
- Delays in receiving appropriate housing or adaptations can delay discharge from hospital.
- 51% of care home residents were moved there after a hospital stay due to their home being unsuitable.



#### Falls

- One in three aged 65yrs+ and one in two aged 80yrs+ will suffer a fall each year with the home being the most common place for falls.
- Over 75% of deaths due to falls occur at home.
- Poor quality housing leads to increased risks of falls.
- Falls are also more frequent and serious in cold homes, likely due to restricted mobility caused by exacerbated arthritic and rheumatic symptoms.



#### Mental Health

- Exposure to louder noise due to poor home insulation can result in increased stress and anxiety levels, and also lead to risks of ischemic heart disease.
- Depression / feelings of isolation can develop as people feel they cannot escape their situation.
- It is estimated that 11% of aged 65yrs+ are often or always lonely and that neighbourhoods that exclude older people can exacerbate isolation and feelings of loneliness.



#### Economic Impact

- Each fall in the home can cost from £67 (cut/bruise) to £59,246 (quadriplegic fall) to treat.
- Up to £600 million of treatment costs could be saved nationally in the first year, if housing hazards were removed / reduced to an acceptable level.
- There is a link between poor housing and educational underachievement: this generation could lose up to £14.8 billion in lost earnings as a result of poor housing.



Figure 4: The Five Housing Crises Facing the UK in 2018



## 1.2 National Strategic Context

*The Housing White Paper – Fixing our Broken Housing Market* references Older People as a key group for which additional new homes are required and makes five recommendations including the need for ambitious plans for new housing at a local level; giving communities a stronger voice in the design of new housing; developing housing that meets future population need; supporting the most vulnerable; and developing sustainable approaches. Offering older people more housing choice that empowers them to live independently for longer to reduce costs on social care and health systems is stressed. The paper also promises a new statutory duty for local planning authorities to address the needs of older people's housing through their Local Plan.

*Communities and Local Government Select Committee Enquiry (2018)* made a series of recommendations including: to assist older people to overcome barriers to moving house; implement a national planning policy framework for the older population; require local authorities to publish a strategy for older people's housing and identify provision within their Local Plan; and that all new homes should be 'age proofed' to meet future population need.

*The Prime Minister's Four Challenges* were published in May 2018 as part of the Industrial Strategy and included "an Ageing Society". This referenced the need to use innovation to help meet the needs of an ageing population, with housing recognised as a key element of this challenge.

Care Act (2014)<sup>5</sup> states that housing is a crucial for health and that services should be integrated with health and social care. The act places a statutory duty on local authorities to ensure sufficient capacity and capability to meet older people's needs, and to develop market position statements to promote a variety of accommodation.

National Memorandum of Understanding (2018) was devised to bring together key organisations from across the public and 3<sup>rd</sup> sector to maximise opportunities to embed the role of housing in joined up action on improving health and care services.

## Chapter 2:

# *A Vision for Good Place- Making and Housing for Older People*



# Chapter 2: The Vision for Good Place-Making and Housing for Older People

## 2.1 Introduction

This chapter explores the vision for both housing and good place-making in the context of older people, by appraising the national and local policy guidance along with evidence from the academic literature and case studies from other areas. A more detailed discussion is provided in the full text of the Annual Public Health Report. Visioning has been undertaken on four key topics:

1. **The vision for good place-making** describes what a healthy place looks like, and what age-friendly features should be incorporated into the design of new developments
2. **The vision for new mainstream housing** describes the features that all new property should incorporate to make them better suited to the older population
3. **The vision for existing stock** considers how older people who live in existing mainstream housing can be supported
4. **The vision for specialist housing** describes what excellent specialist housing looks like and how this could be developed and incorporated into our Local Plan.

## 2.2. A Vision for Good Place-Making

Place-making is a multi-faceted approach to the planning, design and management of public spaces. Place-making capitalises on a local community's assets, inspiration, and potential, with the intention of creating public spaces that promote people's health, happiness, and well being. There is a growing evidence base on the components of a healthy place and on taking a people centred approach to understand how a place is used by its residents.<sup>6</sup> The National Planning Policy Framework (13) updated in 2018 states that planning policies should aim to achieve health, inclusive and safe spaces that promote social interactions, are safe and accessible, and enable and support healthy lifestyles. NHS England recently proposed 10 principles for a healthy place, emerging from its Healthy New Towns Programme<sup>7</sup>. (Figure 5)

A significant amount of work has been undertaken both globally and nationally specifically on older people and the wider place-making agenda, most notably by the World Health Organisation with its age friendly agenda. The age-friendly initiative aims to promote active ageing to be a life-long process shaped by several factors that, along and together, favour health, participation and security in older adult life.<sup>8</sup> Older people are arguably more susceptible to the positive and negative impacts of a place, and therefore incorporation of age-friendly features within a healthy place is important as these can enhance the potential benefits of a healthier place by better enabling older people to be active participants in it



## Summary of Our Vision

- All new developments should have the principles of the *Healthy New Towns Programme* at their core
- All new developments should have age-friendly, place-making design, including public transport, green space, community, employment and volunteering opportunities, safety and security and digital inclusion.
- All new housing, including mainstream housing, should be built according to HAPPI principles
- Older people wishing to continue living in existing stock will be supported to do so through the use of adaptations and telecare where appropriate.
- There will be a wide range of specialised housing available of the appropriate tenure and high quality.
- Local people will be involved in the design of new specialised housing

Figure 5: The Five Housing Crises Facing the UK in 2018



# Chapter 2: The Vision for Good Place-Making and Housing for Older People

The WHO identified five core principles for designing an age-friendly community<sup>9</sup> are shown in figure 6. Figure 7 summarises the age-friendly features that should be considered in the wider place-making context, from the published evidence base.

Figure 6: The World Health Organisation Five Core Principles for an Age-Friendly Environment/Community



Figure 7: Age Friendly Considerations in Place-Making



## Community

It is widely acknowledged that being part of a community and participating in social, leisure, cultural, and spiritual activities and community events can help to address social exclusion and isolation, and improve physical and mental health. It is widely accepted that older people should be included as full partners in their community with respect to decisions which affect them and they should be consulted by public, voluntary and commercial services on ways to serve them better.



## Work, Volunteering and Education

Age friendly community's should enable and provide options for older people to continue to contribute to their communities through paid employment, voluntary work, micro-enterprise, timeBank, education and/or civic/political activities. This can support older people using a strength based approach, linking the skills of the wider community with the need of an older person who may just need a small amount of help to stay more independent and boosting mental capital which in turn increases individual resilience in later life.



## Getting around

Public transport is preferred for many older people, and the availability, affordability, and accessibility of public transport can impact on an older person's ability to move around a place, access services, and participate in community activities. It should be comfortable, safe, not overcrowded, with appropriate stopping points, appropriate frequency and good signage. Older people also walk more, however their walking speed/distance decreases. It is important that places have safe walkways, with resting places and safe pedestrian crossings.



## Health Facilities

Integrated, holistic services are the most effective way of providing care and this is even more relevant in the case of older people who are more likely to have multiple comorbidities alongside social factors. Taking a joined up place based approach can help in preventing, delaying and reducing future demand for health and care services. These health services need not only to be provided in a joined up way, but it is also important that these health care services are accessible close to an older person's home and with good transport links.



## Shops and Leisure Facilities

Older people's housing tends to be best located in non-remote areas that have good access to town centre amenities and facilities. Several features of age friendly buildings which should be considered are: lifts, escalators, ramps, wide doorways and passages, suitable stairs (not too high or steep) with railings, non-slip flooring, rest areas with comfortable seating, adequate signage, public toilets with disabled access.



## Crime and Neighbourhood Safety

A secure environment strongly affects older people's willingness to move about in the local community which in turn affects their independence, physical health, social integration and emotional well-being. Street lighting, violence, crime, drugs and homelessness in public places are concerns expressed everywhere.



## Green Space

Green space should be available to all and in the UK the Green Flag Award is a recognised standard of quality for green spaces. Green space is of social, environmental and economic value, as it can contribute toward social connectedness, and have a function in overcoming loneliness, isolation and inactivity.



## Digital Environment

A great value to older people with information readily available, it can be socially beneficial with social media helping them to stay in contact with friends/relatives and people who share an interest. Internet usage decreases with age, therefore older people may not be benefiting as much from the potential social benefits of technology. Technologies can provide access to in home health and social care i.e. telemedicine which includes alerts to remind people to take their medications and apps to track dementia patients.



# Chapter 2: *The Vision for Good Place-Making and Housing for Older People*

## 2.3 A Vision for New Build “Mainstream” Housing

Not everyone can, or would wish to live in a specialist home. Therefore new mainstream housing needs to be built in a way that ensures that it is appropriate across the life to enable healthy ageing. This requires property be designed to enable flexibility, reducing the need for major adaptations which often require costly building work and are difficult to retro-fit in poorly designed homes.

Building regulation standards have been updated to make homes more accessible.<sup>10</sup> However, some of these regulations remain optional. Additionally they do not incorporate other important features which would make the more suitable to healthy ageing. The ten HAPPI (Housing our Ageing Population Panel for Innovation) criteria are best practice for older people’s housing suitability, are considered to be an exemplar standard for all housing and should be applied more widely (figure 8).

The DWELL study<sup>11</sup> also found that adaptability or future proofing of homes is important. It describes how flexible design strategies fall into three broad categories:

- **Construction** – the ease by which the structure of the home can be changed e.g. the ability to knock through walls
- **Plan** – the size, connectivity and definition of internal spaces, which allows flexibility on how space is used
- **Services** – the ease of changing or replacing building services such as heating during the life span of the building.

A partial regulatory impact assessment conducted by the Communities and Local Government Department<sup>12</sup> suggested that building to lifetime homes standards could reduce or delay the need for people to move into residential care, reduce the demand for temporary residential care when people are discharged from hospital, free up hospital beds where people are ready to be discharged but cannot due to shortages in care arrangements or accommodation and reduce the need for home care.

Whilst further research is needed, this demonstrates that building future proofed mainstream homes have the potential to result in cost savings to both the NHS and wider system.


## 2.4 A Vision for Existing Homes

We know that the majority of the older population wish to remain in their current homes, however many mainstream homes are unsuitable for changing health and social care needs.

The Local Government Association in 2016 identified the three key issues of energy efficiency, safety and security which make housing less appropriate to the population as they age.<sup>13</sup> Older people are much more likely to be affected by a cold home and suffer from fuel poverty (defined as using in excess of 10% of household income to heat a home). There is evidence to suggest warmth and energy efficiency can lead to improvements in respiratory health, mental health and cardio-vascular disease.<sup>14</sup>

Older people are at increased risk of unintentional injury in the home due to falls, trips and slips for example. There are several ways in which safety can be improved in existing housing stock, for example through housing adaptations and telecare solutions. As the risk of having an accident decreases, the ability and confidence of a person is likely to increase which may enable them to have greater independence and which in turn can lead to improvements in quality of life.

Figure 8: Ten HAPPI standards



# Chapter 2: *The Vision for Good Place-Making and Housing for Older People*

There is strong evidence that minor home adaptations are effective and cost effective for preventing falls and injuries, improving performance of everyday activities and improving mental health. There is also strong evidence that minor adaptations are particularly effective at improving outcomes and reducing risk when they are combined with other necessary repairs and home improvements, such as improving lighting and removing trip and fall hazards.<sup>15</sup> Evidence for major adaptations is more limited, but what is available suggests that the greatest outcomes are achieved when the individual, their family and their carers are involved in the decision making process, focusing on what the resident wants to achieve in their home<sup>15</sup>

Evidence of cost effectiveness is strongest on falls prevention with one study suggesting that programmes that mitigate hazards associated with trips on staircases have a return on investment of 62% and a payback time of fewer than 8 months. The study concluded that adapting homes could offset the need for residential care and highlighted that the average disabled grant award for such adaptations was £7,000 compared to the average residential care cost per person for £29,000.

Assistive technology (telecare) including Smart Homes has also been shown to maintain functional status<sup>16</sup> promote independence<sup>17</sup>, and lead to savings in formal care services<sup>16</sup>. An economic modelling study<sup>18</sup> found that adaptive technologies could lead to reductions in the demand for other health and social care services worth an average of £579 per recipient per annum, and an improvement in the quality of life of recipients worth £1522 per person per annum.

Handyman services which assist older people with minor home repairs, safety and home security measures and energy efficiency checks have also been found to be cost effective. One study (48) found that every £1 spent on such services delivers £4.28 in savings to health and care services from falls prevention, and that such services reduced falls risk by 36%.

## 2.5 A Vision for Specialist Housing

Around 25% of the older people population nationally would consider moving, and many of these would consider moving into a specialist home. The key barrier to moving into a specialist home is the lack of appropriate homes.<sup>19</sup> The vision for Thurrock is to take the opportunity presented through the Local Plan, to invest in building the mix of new specialist homes that older people want and need.

Predicting the demand for specialist homes is subject to great uncertainty and estimates range from an increase by between 35 and 70% nationally.

Encouraging older people to downsize may have the impact of freeing up larger families homes which may contribute towards alleviating overcrowding, however this issue is highly complex.

Older people may not free up finances by downsizing and there needs to be emphasis on other 'pull' factors to make specialist housing more attractive.

A 2012 Market Assessment of Older People's Housing in England<sup>20</sup> found that there was very limited choice for older person households moving home to accommodate their support needs. It also found that there had been little progress in integrating a housing offer for older people into mainstream developments. The Market Assessment identified three types of movers amongst older people households:

- **Lifestyle Movers** (typically younger older) moving to the coast or abroad for a better quality of life
- **Planners** (typically middle aged older) moving before they need to and while they still have the energy from a realisation of changing health status or that current housing is becoming unsuitable
- **Crisis Movers** (often the eldest group) who remain in their existing home until an accident or ill health forces a move.

The UK generally lags behind other international western democracies in developing new models of specialist housing for older people (box below), and has favoured models more traditional models that promote and extend independence including sheltered housing and Extra-Care (self-contained specialist housing units with a care team on site providing 24-hour care, seven days a week, and access to communal facilities, such as a restaurant or activities room). Most of these schemes provide some form of communal space and social activities for residents, and the evidence suggests that residents of extra care can enjoy a better quality of life than community dwelling older people.<sup>21,22,23</sup> There is a lower mortality rate in extra care than care homes<sup>24</sup> and a lower likelihood of entering institutional care than those receiving domiciliary care in the community<sup>25</sup> At the very least, there is evidence that extra care can help residents maintain their health status where it would have declined in a community context.

The evidence for the cost effectiveness of extra-care is somewhat mixed. Though many studies have shown long-term savings for extra-care over other institutional options, there is also evidence for higher costs<sup>23,26,27,28,29</sup> This is likely due to the variability of service provision and size between schemes

Specialist housing should be co-produced/co-designed with local people to ensure it is designed with their needs in mind.

### International Models of Specialist Housing for Older People

**Co-Housing** communities are created and run by their residents. Each household has a self-contained, private home but residents come together to manage their community and share activities. Cohousing is a way of combating alienation and isolation by creating 'neighbourly support'.

**Garden Suites** are a specialist version of a "tiny house", designed with features specifically for older people to support intergenerational living. A garden suite has a self-contained living area usually on the ground floor of a larger family home. In the UK they have been referred to as "Granny Annexes"

**Intergenerational Housing Developments** house older people alongside young people to create a dynamic community. Schemes have 'buddy programmes' which match older and younger residents for mutually beneficial social relationships as well as practical help for the older person.

# Chapter 2: The Vision for Good Place-Making and Housing for Older People

## 2.6 Case Studies

Though nearly all of the little available evidence focuses on extra-care, there are other models of older adult housing that may be worth consideration. Below are three case studies each outlining a different type of scheme, some unique features and key elements or ideas to apply to future schemes.

### Case Study #1: Older Women's Co-housing (OWCH) group



Cohousing is a new concept in UK housing, though it has a long tradition in northern Europe and the USA. The cohousing model originated in Denmark in the 1960s. It aspires to encourage independent living within a social environment through shared goods, services, meals and chores. Residents self-manage the scheme and agree to a set of shared values which are intended to ease social cohesion.

The UK's first cohousing scheme was recently completed, after 18 years of planning and development, in High Barnet. New Ground opened in late 2016 consisting of 25 purpose built homes for 26 women aged between 51 and 88 as well as communal spaces and facilities. New Ground is a self-managed intentional community in which the residents were active in the design process from the very beginning to ensure that the result fit the needs and wants of its intended community.

The OWCH group was not just a consultation of future residents, members set up regular social activities in the years before the site opened to build a strong social structure which resulted in an active community where the women know and can rely on their neighbours for help and support. There are outings and activities that residents arrange as well as a weekly communal meal. The women were motivated by the avoidance of loneliness as they got older as well as retaining autonomy and agency over their lives.

A cohousing model like this one requires forethought and the acknowledgement of the realities of aging as well as a desire to live in a community of other older people. Support for senior cohousing projects is encouraged by the authors and contributors of the HAPPI reports.

#### Key principles:

1. Consult with end users when designing housing for older adults
2. Communal facilities
3. Social architecture- facilitate meaningful relationships through activities etc.
4. Mixed ages
5. Allow for an element of self-management to allow residents to engage and retain agency

### Case Study #2: Halton Court, Greenwich, London



Halton Court is a 170-unit scheme for over 55s, part of Kidbrooke Village, the regeneration of the now demolished Ferrier Estate in Greenwich, London. Halton Court provides part of the affordable housing contribution under the Section 106 Agreement for Kidbrooke Village. At design stage the scheme Halton Court won the HAPPI category of the 2010 Housing Design Awards. It is distinguished by: award winning quality design; very generous private and communal spaces; the scale and range of facilities; a dense urban setting; located on a prominent site of a major regeneration scheme; prioritised for older people seeking to downsize. Lettings in the first two months of opening were at double the rate anticipated.

The scheme challenges the orthodoxy of large extra care housing schemes in that, although this is a large scheme with generous facilities, it is firmly a housing-led scheme rather than driven by social care. There are no requirements for residents to have any care needs to live here, and currently any care needs are met through domiciliary care services. Lettings are made through the choice-based lettings system of Greenwich's housing department rather than social care referrals from social services. However, the scale of this development will allow both on-site care and operation of the scheme to be developed on a more flexible basis than traditional extra care housing.

Sixty percent of the self-contained apartments are 2-bedroom, in response to this being the most common size desired by older 'downsizers'. There are a large number of communal facilities, which serve both residents and the public including a restaurant, hairdressers, spa and a Village Hall that all ensure the scheme is at the heart of the community. There are also guest suites for visitors to stay in, allowing connections with family and friends to remain active.

#### Key Principles:

1. Future-proof care ready design can attract older people wishing to move to a smaller home regardless of care needs
2. Incorporate HAPPI design principles
3. Ensure the scheme is in a dynamic location at the heart of the community
4. Priority for the rented homes is given to council or housing association tenants who are living in family-sized housing and want to downsize

### Case Study #3: Buccleuch House, Hackney, London



Buccleuch House, a purpose-built 41-apartment scheme for older Hackney residents which is integrated within a larger mixed apartment block. The Hanover flats for older people are targeted at tenants for affordable rent, and although not an extra care housing scheme, also provide communal facilities at ground level. The scheme won the HAPPI award at the 2013 Housing Design Awards in addition to a Project Award.

The final design provides a total of 107 new homes. Of this total, 41 are designed for older people for affordable rent and with associated communal facilities, 28 are affordable rent and shared ownership apartments and 38 are private sale. The new homes vary from 1 bedroom flats to 4 bedroom homes. All homes meet or exceed London Housing Design Guide standards, including Lifetime Homes and give residents the choice to be alone or socialize with others.

Design follows the HAPPI recommendations from overarching principles through to detailed design. For those who want to remain fully independent and arrange care at home as and when they need it, this often means new types of easy to manage, spacious, accessible, two bedroom houses, or flats with lift access. For those who prefer to live in a managed, group setting or have higher care needs, it means extra care housing and residential care facilities that welcome those with dementia. And for the growing 'middle ground' - those who value their independence but would like to know they can always find company when they seek it - it means new forms of 'care ready' retirement homes. It also means more local shops, community and health facilities and better public transport.

Designed for local people, it reflects and accommodates Hackney's diverse population in a dignified, practical and equitable way. As a contemporary, high density, mixed residential building on the edge of a common in one of London's poorest and most densely populated boroughs, the new Buccleuch House exemplifies these principles.

#### Key Principles:

1. Make strategic use of smaller development opportunities
2. Flexible, open flat layouts
3. It can be appropriate for housing for older people to be physically and socially integrated with other types of housing.

# Chapter 2: The Vision for Good Place-Making and Housing for Older People

## 2.6 Vision for Dementia

Dementia prevalence is predicted to increase by over 75% over the next 20 years from 1,526 in 2017 to 2,673 in 2035. (See Chapter 4 for more details). People with dementia have specific needs in terms of housing and environment, and there is a drive to create dementia-friendly communities.

### Dementia Friendly Community

*Ensuring that people with dementia have their needs understood, respected and supported within the context of a wider community, and are able to contribute to community life. In a dementia-friendly community people are aware of and understand dementia, and people with dementia feel included and involved, and have choice and control over their day-to-day lives. A dementia-friendly community is made up of individuals, businesses, organizations, services, and faith communities that support the needs of people with dementia.<sup>30</sup>*

The aim of dementia friendly communities is to improve quality of life for people with dementia regardless of where they live. At present the majority of people with dementia choose to remain in their own homes with support or move into a residential or nursing home setting. Issues for older people, such as loneliness and isolation tend to be exacerbated when the older person has dementia.<sup>31</sup>

The Alzheimer's Society (2018) has published guidance on delivering a dementia friendly approach to housing<sup>30</sup> suggests that the three key areas for consideration: are people with dementia are:

**People:** All housing staff including landlords, housing teams, and support workers should have awareness and understanding of dementia, have ability to interact with and communicate effectively with people who have dementia and be able to recognise needs.

**Place:** The creation and maintenance of suitable housing can support people living with dementia including the interior and exterior of buildings, areas around buildings and locations and includes retrofitting existing housing.

**Process:** Accessing residential provision and housing related services such as adaptations should be designed to reduce barriers for people with dementia and provide clear opportunities for people with dementia to contribute to decisions about their homes.


Many of these principles reflect general age-friendly principles however, there is also likely to be a need for specific developments to cater for the needs of people with dementia. Dementia Care (2015) identified that extra care housing is increasingly being provided however this is an extra step in the dementia journey which delays but does not remove the need for residential or nursing care. It felt that some form of specialist dementia housing model is needed as an alternative to moving to care home, where people often decline quickly and developed a model which is discussed in more detail in the full version of this report.

The Local Government Association (LGA) suggests that Councils should encourage developers to consider how design can support dementia friendly communities in for example, the layout of roads and streetscape, the design of adequate and legible signage, the design of wider and pedestrian only pavements with clearly defined edges, provision of more drop off and pick up points outside of public venues, good lighting and acoustics, appropriate seating and toilet facilities and the provision of more handrails at road crossings.

The LGA also suggests that housing providers, people with dementia and their carers should to consider assistive technology such as aids and adaptations, both low and hi-tech which can help them remain independent for longer.

Both Bradford and York have developed new approaches to developing *Dementia Friendly Communities*.<sup>32,33</sup> The learning from their models is shown in figure 10

Figure 10: Learning from the Bradford and York Dementia Friendly Communities



# Chapter 3:

## *Thurrock's Strategic Vision*



# Chapter 3: *Thurrock's Strategic Vision*

## 3.1 Introduction

This Chapter summarises the current strategic vision and priorities for Thurrock and how they are relevant to older people.


## 3.2 Planning

Thurrock Council's Local Plan will determine the amount and distribution of new development providing a comprehensive and long term planning framework for the period up to 2035 (along with planning policies for the determination of planning applications). The draft of the local plan is due to be published in the latter months of 2018 and adopted in 2020. Currently the Council is in the process of assessing over four hundred and fifty sites to see if they could be deemed as deliverable housing sites. (76) including a strategic housing market assessment (housing needs for South Essex), economic development needs assessment (employment land needs for South Essex), green belt assessment (how well Thurrock's green belt performs against the green belt purposes set out in national policy), active place strategy (quality of existing open spaces and sports facilities). In March 2017 the Thurrock Design Guide was adopted by Cabinet setting out the overarching principles that need to be considered by anyone putting forward a new development scheme in the borough. There is an opportunity presented through this work stream to influence the local plan and planning policies with respect to older people to ensure that the needs of the older population are met going forwards.

## 3.3 Regeneration

The main priority for Regeneration in Thurrock is responding to the anticipated demand for 32,000 new homes by 2037 and ensuring that this growth comes with the required level of infrastructure (for example schools, health facilities, and high quality public realm). There will also be a need to contribute towards the need for 24,500 new jobs in the area. Activity in Thurrock is currently formed around six growth hubs namely Purfleet, Lakeside and West Thurrock, Grays, Tilbury, London Gateway and Thames Enterprise Park. (figure 11). The quality of the design of this regeneration has the potential to positively impact on the health of the population including older people through adoption of Healthy New Town Programme Principles

Figure 11: Major Regeneration Plans for Thurrock



## 3.3 Housing

In 2015, the council published its five year Housing Strategy (figure 12) which also lays out the long term vision for housing over the next 30 years. The strategy aims to ensure quality housing across all tenures, and to build 1,000 new homes by 2020 and to deliver high quality housing services that proactively support residents to maximise health, wellbeing and employment opportunities and create sustainable communities.

Figure 12: Thurrock Council Housing Strategy 2015-2020

<p><b>Leading the way</b> <i>In providing well-designed, high quality, sustainable and aspirational homes that promote community cohesion and a healthy lifestyle</i></p>	<p><b>Increasing the supply</b> <i>of family homes to support growing families, making best use of our existing stock.</i></p>	<p><b>Enabling young people</b> <i>and single households to access the housing market with financial assistance including shared equity and increasing the provision of studio and one bedroom homes</i></p>
<p><b>Creating apprenticeship opportunities</b> <i>with our partners and support residents to access training and employment pathways with targeted programmes for council tenants</i></p>	<p><b>Creating attractive housing for older people</b> <i>that encourages independence and wellbeing</i></p>	<p><b>Reducing health inequalities</b> <i>across the borough through targeted interventions and joint working</i></p>
<p><b>Safeguarding our residents</b> <i>and deliver preventative measures to reduce violent crime and anti-social behaviour</i></p>	<p><b>WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR THURROCK?</b></p>	
<p><b>Improving the quality</b> <i>of our own stock, prioritising those with damp and mould</i></p>	<p><b>Ensuring that residents</b> <i>living in the private sector also benefit from high quality housing</i></p>	<p><b>Engaging with private landlords</b> <i>to increase the availability of homes in the private rented sector working with neighbouring boroughs</i></p>
<p><b>Attracting and working collaboratively</b> <i>with private developers and registered providers to boost housing supply</i></p>	<p><b>Upskilling our staff</b> <i>to better support our residents with specific training on mental health, dementia and domestic abuse</i></p>	<p><b>Regenerating existing estates</b> <i>to improve and increase affordable housing provision</i></p>

The Council plans to make better use of existing adapted properties while supporting residents in need of new home aids and adaptations as well as rolling out some sheltered housing services to those in general needs and private sector housing to increase independence. Through providing innovative and aspirational housing for older people, it hoped that older people could be supported to move into move suitable accommodation and downsize, freeing up family housing. It also aims to support the borough's most vulnerable residents by embedding safeguarding into the housing team and continuing to offer free home security equipment to residents of sheltered housing.

# Chapter 3: *Thurrock's Strategic Vision*

The Council is reviewing its supply of extra-care housing to identify requirements for further schemes. Bruyns Court in South Ockendon is Thurrock's first older adult housing scheme built with HAPPI design principles. Progress is also being made at Calcutta Road, the Council's second HAPPI scheme. The Council is also aspiring to apply HAPPI principles to other housing schemes with the view to build adaptable homes that will support people throughout their lives. All new supported accommodation will meet REACH standards and the Council are working with Thurrock Coalition to better understand the needs of disabled and older people to inform the design of future schemes

## 3.4 ICT

The "Connected Thurrock" Digital strategy intends to work collaboratively with the private sector and government to complement these ambitions by ensuring that Thurrock is properly positioned to take advantage of all of the opportunities that are available to a vibrant 21st century community. Further details are available on the council's [website](#).

## 3.5 Health and Communities

The **Stronger Together** programme was developed to integrate a range of initiatives provided by the council's Community Development Team, Thurrock CVS and *Ngage*. The programme operates on five key principles:

1. *Place Based* – recognising that work needs to happen at a neighbourhood level that connects people to their immediate environment
2. *Focus on Strengths* – focusing on individual strengths and neighbourhood assets rather than on what's wrong.
3. *Citizen led* – putting communities in the driving seat
4. *Relationship building* – focusing on improving community connectivity and social capital
5. *Social justice* – an inclusive approach at the heart of community building

The programme includes successful and valued initiatives including Local Area Coordination, Asset Based Community Development, Community Organisers and Time Banking, and plays a key role in improving the wellbeing of older people including addressing issues such as loneliness.

Figure 13: Artist's Impression of the Proposed Integrated Medical Centre in Tilbury




**For Thurrock In Thurrock** is the joint strategic health and care service transformation programme between the Council's Health and Adult Social Care functions and NHS Thurrock CCG that proposes new models of integrated health and care that places greater emphasis on neighbourhood based care in communities. It includes plans to develop four Integrated Medical Centres across the borough in Grays, Tilbury, Purfleet and Corringham. It also includes a new model of care *Better Care Together Thurrock* which encompasses significantly increasing the capacity and capability of Primary Care using a mixed skill clinical workforce centred around locality based networks of GP surgeries, a suite of projects to improve the diagnosis and clinical management of long term health conditions, and proposals to integrate health and care community services including new *Wellbeing Teams* and *Community Led Support Teams* based from our locality community hubs.

A new *Thurrock Integrated Care Alliance* of all major health and care providers has developed an MOU which commits stakeholders to working in collaboration to integrate commissioning and delivery of care on a single health and care systems basis, together with a new outcomes framework to support transformation. Sign off of this is imminent. This approach aims to prevent avoidable demand on the most expensive elements of the system; namely unplanned hospital admissions and entry to residential care by intervening earlier to improve the health and wellbeing of the population.

The **Mid and South Essex Sustainability and Transformation Partnership (STP)** is a new transformation programme for NHS services across Thurrock, Basildon and Brentwood, Castlepoint and Rochford, Southend-on-Sea and mid Essex. It has already developed a programme of hospital transformation between the three District General Hospitals including developing specialist centres for stroke, cardio-vascular disease, cancer and elective care on different hospital sites. A new STP Primary Care Strategy is replicating plans developed for Primary Care transformation as part of *Better Care Together Thurrock* across the entire STP footprint

All of these initiatives should have a major positive impact on the health and wellbeing of older residents, seeking to intervene earlier to prevent serious health events, promote independence, address the wider determinants of health including social isolation and loneliness, and bring simplified, easier to access, higher quality health and care services closer to home.



Chapter 4:  
*An Overview of  
the health and  
wellbeing needs  
of older  
residents*





# Chapter 4: An overview of current and future health and wellbeing needs of older residents

## 4.1 Introduction

Understanding the current and projected future health and wellbeing needs of our older residents is important in helping us ensure our future housing offer keeps them as well and independent as possible. This chapter summarises the current and predicted health and wellbeing needs of our older residents and discusses the implications for the council, health partners on the third sector. More in-depth analysis is presented in the main report.

## 4.2 Population Growth and Segmentation

Our population is living longer, but not necessarily healthier lives. Within Thurrock, the older population (aged 65+) is predicted to grow by 5% by 2020 and 46% by 2035. This rate of growth is considerably greater than for the all-age population and does not factor in further population growth that may occur from migration into the borough as a result of our plans to build new homes. Whilst our increasing life expectancy is clearly a positive thing, a population of older people growing at a faster rate than the general population presents policy challenges in terms of increased demand on health and care services, and ability to raise revenue from taxation of the working age population to pay for them.

Older people are not one homogenous group. MOSAIC has undertaken population segmentation of the UK's older population (aged 65+) to create 14 distinct sub-categories shown figure 14, with differing characteristics. Some care needs to be taken when interpreting national MOSAIC population segments, as they may not always translate perfectly to local population characteristics.

Figure 14: MOSAIC Population Segments for UK Population Aged 65+

A04	Village Retirement	Retired couples and singles	Larger village location	Like to be self-sufficient	Enjoy UK holidays	Most likely to play cricket and golf	Often prefer post for communications
F22	Legacy Elders	Oldest average age of 78	Mostly living alone	Own comfortable homes outright	Final salary pensions	Low technology knowledge	Broadsheet readers
F23	Solo Retirees	Elderly singles	Small private residence	Long length of residence	Own a suburban semi or terrace	Keep bills down by turning things off	Don't like new technology
F24	Bungalow Haven	Elderly couples and singles	Own their bungalow outright	Neighbourhoods of elderly people	May research online	Like buying in store	Pre-pay mobiles, low spend
F25	Classic Grandparents	Elderly couples	Traditional views	Not good with new technology	Most likely to have a basic mobile	Long length of residence	Own value suburban semis and terraces
G27	Outlying Seniors	Aged 60+	Low cost housing	Out of the way locations	Low income	Shop locally	Dislike being contacted by marketers
I37	Community Elders	Older households	Own city terraces and semis	Have lived there 20 years	Some adult children at home	Multicultural neighbourhoods	Respond to direct mail charity appeals
I39	Ageing Access	Average age 63	Often living alone	Most are homeowners	Modest income	1 or 2 bed flats and terraces	Pleasant inner suburbs
N57	Seasoned Survivors	Very elderly	Most are living alone	Longest length of residence (29 years)	Modest income	Own mostly 2 or 3 bed terraces	Retired from routine / semi-skilled jobs
N58	Aided Elderly	Developments for the elderly	Mostly purpose built flats	Most own, others rent	Majority are living alone	Have income additional to state pension	Least likely to own a mobile phone
N59	Pocket Pensions	Retired and mostly living alone	1 or 2 bedroom small homes	Rented from social landlords	Low incomes	Prefer contact by landline phone	Visit bank branch
N60	Dependent Greys	Ageing singles	Vulnerable to poor health	1 bedroom socially rented units	Disabled parking permits	Low income	City location
N61	Estate Veterans	Average age 75	Often living alone	Long term social renters of current home	Living on estates with some deprivation	Low income	Can get left behind by technology
O62	Low Income Workers	Older households	Renting low cost semi and terraces	Social landlords	Longer length of residence	Areas with low levels of employment	2 or 3 bedrooms

Figure 15 shows the distribution of Thurrock's population aged 65+ across the MOSAIC categories. In Thurrock, our three biggest segments are Solo Retirees, Classic Grandparents and Seasoned Survivors. These population groups appear to generally own some sort of property already and have modest amounts of incomes; however we don't know if they will have taken steps to already adapt their homes for future needs. This could be something to consider promoting. The Mosaic characteristics also suggest that many of them might not be confident with new technologies, which is something to consider if telecare / telehealth options are used or if digital technologies are otherwise used within new homes.

Figure 15: Number of Thurrock Residents in each MOSAIC Population Segment





Figure 16 shows that older people are not distributed evenly across different Thurrock Wards, ranging from 1.68% of the ward population in South Chafford to almost 11% in Stanford East and Corringham Town. This has implications for where future health and care service development for older people should be prioritised, including the mix of services delivered from different Integrated Medical Centres.

Figure 16: Distribution of MOSAIC Population Groups by Ward




# Chapter 4: An overview of current and future health and wellbeing needs of older residents

## 4.3 Deprivation

Deprivation is highly positively associated with poor health outcomes and is therefore the major driver of health inequalities. It can be measured using the Income Deprivation Affecting Older People Index (IDAOP) which is based upon the percentage of older people living in income-deprived households. Figure 17 shows that deprivation faced by Older People is not evenly distributed across the borough, with the majority of the highest levels older people's deprivation centred in Purfleet and South Ockendon and Tilbury and Chadwell. Older people in these areas are highly likely to have higher levels of morbidity and mortality, and require health and care services at an earlier age.

Figure 17: Index of Deprivation Affecting Older People (IDAOP) 2015 by Lower Super Output Area



## 4.4 Fuel Poverty

Fuel Poverty occurs when households have above average fuel costs and meeting those costs leave them with a residential income below the official poverty line.<sup>34</sup> In 2016, 5638 households in Thurrock were estimated to be in fuel poverty, with significant variation in fuel poverty prevalence between wards; Tilbury St. Chads and Grays having the highest prevalence.

Warmth and energy efficiency leads to improvement in general, respiratory and mental health and reduces the risk of cardio-vascular disease<sup>14</sup>, and is particularly important for older people who are already at significantly increased risk of these health conditions. However evidence suggests that older people are often unaware of energy efficiency schemes that they could benefit from. Addressing this through promotion of schemes like *Well Homes* is particularly important.


## 4.5 Community Connectivity and Social Capital

There is a growing body of evidence that suggests that feeling 'connected' to your community is vital to wellbeing and as such a key factor in the quality of life of older-people. Thurrock has almost 6000 residents aged 65+ with no access to a car or van, leading to a reliance of public transport and potential social isolation. The evidence shows that whilst older people walk more, their risk of falling increases. This finding emphasises the importance of designing places which have age friendly features such as safe pedestrian routes with resting places and no hazards, and providing homes in locations where facilities can be easily accessed; and for those parts of the borough with higher numbers of lone-person households with no car/van, ensuring that community facilities can be reached by public transport.

The Adult Social Care survey found that 47.2% of respondents do not have as much social contact as they would like, 36.7% stated that they do not generally leave their home, and another 14.9% felt that they were unable to get to all the places they wanted to. Whilst the reasons were not given, this highlights the importance of a) ensuring the home is safe and fit for purpose, b) looking at ways to support people to leave their homes if they should want to, and c) migrating additional hospital services closer to where people live. It might be that provision of telecare equipment (e.g. pendant alarms) or support with accessing appropriate public transport may facilitate this group of older people to access the places they wish to.

Social Isolation and loneliness can have serious implications for health and wellbeing. A recent meta-analysis of over 3.4 million people suggested that prolonged social isolation carries the same health risk as smoking 15 cigarettes a day. Age UK recently produced data showing the relative risk of loneliness in the population aged 65+ across Thurrock based on the 2011 Census data. The wards identified as carrying the highest risk of loneliness in Thurrock were Aveley and Uplands and Tilbury St. Chads. (Figure 18)

Figure 18: Risk of Loneliness in the Population Aged 65+ (Age UK)



Thurrock's approach to community development in terms of local area coordination, social prescribing and community hubs are vital in promoting social contact and reducing the risk of loneliness particularly amongst these higher risk groups, however there is clearly still more to do.

The case studies in Chapter 2 outline some examples of housing developments that incorporate elements of social spaces and facilities which could reduce the likelihood of loneliness in older age.

New models of care, particularly our proposed new *Wellbeing Teams* and *Community Led Support Teams* aim to deliver a more holistic, strengths based offer to older people, set in the context of linking residents to assets in the community that may improve their wellbeing, as opposed to simply meeting basic care needs. This approach, currently being launched in Tilbury and Chadwell should be rolled out across the borough if shown to be successful.


# Chapter 4: *An overview of current and future health and wellbeing needs of older residents*

## 4.6 Digital Connectivity

A growing amount of social contact is undertaken via the internet both through emails and websites or via social media. This can offer the opportunity to facilitate and enable contact with others, and have the potential to increase connectivity and reduce risk of loneliness. When compared to the UK, However concerns are regularly raised by members that some of their older residents may be being 'left behind' in terms of this digital revolution.

National evidence bears out this concern, with figure 19 suggesting a significant fall in regular internet use in the population groups aged 65+ compared to middle aged and younger adults.

Figure 19: UK Internet Usage by Age Group (2018)




Evidence suggests that digital connectivity can bring benefits to older people. Using technology including Skype and Amazon Echo to deliver programmes such as Virtual Chair Based Exercise is about to be piloted as part of our new Wellbeing Team approach to delivering a more holistic home care offer to our residents. Triangulating national data with the segmentation data on our older population group set out in section 4.2, it is likely that we will have some residents who may benefit from support with using new technologies via education and training. This should also be considered when promoting new telecare and telehealth solutions and the council and healthcare partners need to be mindful of potential limited digital skills in our older population when implementing future roll-out of digital solutions to accessing our services. There are opportunities to provide an expanded offer to digital skills training through our community hubs.

Conversely, the data show that we are likely to have large numbers of "younger older people" who are confident using the internet. As this cohort continue to age over the next decade, it is inevitable that digital skills across the entire population will increase.

## 4.7 Long Term Health Conditions

As we age, the risk of developing one or more long term health conditions rises significantly. Figure 20 shows prevalence of different **diagnosed** long term health conditions within the population aged 65+ in Thurrock. High blood pressure (hypertension) is the most common diagnosed LTC followed by coronary heart disease and COPD.

Figure 20: Prevalence of Diagnosed Long Term Health Conditions in those aged 65+ in Thurrock, 2017/18.



Modelling work by Public Health England and stated within the Thurrock Annual Public Health Report 2016 indicates that there are a large number of patients who have long term health conditions who are not yet diagnosed and therefore not receiving any form of treatment. Whilst numbers are not available for 65+ only, we suspect some of the undiagnosed LTC patients will be older adults.

Undiagnosed or poorly managed long term conditions significantly increase the risk of serious cardio-vascular and respiratory health events and are often the precursor to avoidable hospital admissions and early entry into the care system. This highlights the importance of

- preventative interventions such as smoking cessation and weight management services to support all adults to reduce the likelihood of developing long term conditions;
- diagnostic interventions such as NHS Health Checks and Hypertension detection programmes which aim to diagnose early before conditions worsen;
- increasing the holistic treatment offer of care for patients with more than one long term condition.

Whilst there are a number of programmes in place already to address all of the above, more could be done to embed them within the Housing work programme – e.g. using communal sheltered housing complexes to host long term condition detection interventions, training more staff in Making Every Contact Count and ensuring housing improvement programmes such as Well Homes (see later section) adequately identify and refer patients to relevant health services.

# Chapter 4: *An overview of current and future health and wellbeing needs of older residents*

## 4.8 Mobility and Falls


As our population ages, it is likely to become less mobile. Analysis from the main report suggests that up to 4,388 more older people will be unable to manage at least one self-care activity alone by 2035, with 2600 more struggling with increased mobility issues, indicating a significantly increased demand for adult social care support.

This indicates both a need to increase capacity all models of current provision, and more broadly to consider new innovative ways of delivering care within the community. It also highlights the importance of preventative and early intervention approaches that seek to keep people as well and independent as possible for as long as possible.

Falls are common in older people and are the leading cause of injury related admissions to hospital in people aged 65+, accounting for 14% of all hospital admissions in this age group.<sup>35</sup> Falls are also preventable and there is a strong evidence base relating to the efficacy of medication reviews, home safety checks, eyesight checks and postural stability training in reducing falls risk.<sup>36</sup>

Rates of falls in older people are predicted to increase over the next 20 years (figure 21) perhaps reflecting changes in age structure of the population aged 65+, as the numbers of our oldest residents increases. Converting the rates in figure 21 into absolute numbers, suggests an increase from 6,245 to 9,759 (35%) in falls from 2017 to 2035.

**Figure 21: Predicted falls rate per 10K residents aged 65+, 2017-2035**



Despite figure 21 showing, a lower rate of falls in Thurrock compared to England, data in the Public Health England Outcomes framework shows that our rate of fractures of neck of femur is significantly higher than England's. This suggests that when older people are falling locally, their falls are more severe.

In 2017/18 there were 287 admission spells for Thurrock patients to Basildon Hospital with a recorded fall. The total cost of these was £1,344,620, with an average cost per spell of £4,685.

The wider impact of these falls to the longer term health and social care system is vast - one estimate from Craig et al.<sup>37</sup> indicates that the long term care costs resulting from a fall could be as much as £29,479 per person. Applying this to the Thurrock estimated number of falls (rather than just hospital activity presented above) **would give long term care costs of £184,096, 355 for the 6,245 older adults estimated to have fallen in 2017, and costs of £287,685,561 for the 9,759 adults estimated to fall in 2035.**

Falls prevention approaches can therefore provide a large return on investment - this can be seen through the activity to date from the Well Homes service in terms of the Category 1 Hazards they have removed to date (see section on Private Housing).


Thurrock has been operating a falls prevention service run by NELFT, which is part of the Older Adults Health and Wellbeing Service. The service includes a multi-agency team consisting of a Pharmacist, Consultant Geriatrician, Dementia Nurse, Nurse, HCA, Physio-therapist and Associate Practitioner. The team provide a Geriatrician led falls clinic, home therapy assessment including home hazard check, 12 week falls prevention group programme and direct support to care homes.

However, given the predicted increase in falls, together with further analysis in the main report suggesting that the severity of falls may vary between different GP practice populations and the highly cost effective nature of falls prevention programmes, there is a need to explore further how the current offer can be better used and perhaps expanded to mitigate projected rises in demand.

## 4.9 Dementia

Figure 22 shows the projected rise in dementia prevalence in Thurrock to 2035. Dementia is projected to rise by just over 75% with the biggest increases in the population aged 85+. This underlines the importance of planning for communities that are perceived to dementia friendly, as discussed in Chapter 2.

**Figure 22: Projected rise in prevalence of Dementia in Thurrock, 2017-2035**




# Chapter 4: An overview of current and future health and wellbeing needs of older residents

## 4.10 Depression

Risk of depression increases with age. Depression affects around 22% of men and 28% of women aged 65 years and over and up to 40% in those aged 85+<sup>38</sup>, yet it is estimated that 85% of older people with depression receive no help at all from the NHS.<sup>39</sup> The number of older people in Thurrock with depression is predicted to rise as our population ages (Figure 23)

**Figure 23: Projected risk in the prevalence of depression in older age groups in Thurrock, 2017-2035. Source: POPPI 2018**



The impact of depression on the wider health and social care system is huge – information from the 2018 Thurrock Mental Health Joint Strategic Needs Assessment found that between 12-18% of all NHS spend on long term conditions is related to poor mental health, and the presence of poor mental health increases the average cost of NHS service use by each person with a long-term condition from approximately £3,910 to £5,670 a year. Applying this to the expected increased number of older people with depression locally by 2035, we calculate an additional £563,000 in treatment costs for long term health conditions.

There are already a number of initiatives underway to improve the diagnosis of depression in the adult population as a whole, including the cleansing of GP registers to identify patients likely to have a diagnosis but not accurately recorded as such, the implementation of depression screening in primary care for patients with Diabetes, and the use of practice level data on IAPT referral activity to drive referrals to treatment services. However more could be done to embed depression screening into the day job of more front line staff (e.g. housing officers) and those professionals who see older people regularly.

Work is also commencing in Thurrock to develop new, more integrated and holistic models of care for treating common mental health disorders.


These will aim to link traditional clinical intervention with asset based community approaches including physical activity, addressing loneliness and isolation and support returning to work. Use of the community hubs and local area coordination are key to this process.

## 4.11 Hospital Use

In 2017/18 there were 12,173 A&E attendances for people aged 65+ in Thurrock, with the most popular diagnoses at admission being 'none' (65.31%) This suggests both on-going coding issues and potentially a cohort of older patients accessing A&E attendances were from people needing advice only; something that can and should be provided in Primary Care, and indicates ongoing issues with the populations ability and/or willingness to access local GP surgeries in a timely way.

Figure 24 shows the most common diagnoses from A&E attendances where coded. It is striking that many of the diagnoses are for conditions that could be treated within the Primary and Community care, if adequate access and facilities were available, highlighting the need for the proposed Integrated Medical Centres and for roll out of Primary Care Mixed Skill workforce proposals

**Figure 24: Most Common Reasons for A&E Attendance in those aged 65+ where diagnosis was recorded.**




Overall A&E attendances in Thurrock for 65+ remained relatively stable with a small increase of 134 attendances between 2016/17 (12,039) and 2017/18 (12,173). However the cost increased from £1,545,024 in 2016/17 to £1,740,997 in 2017/18 – an increase of 12.7%. This could signify an increase in the complexity of patients attending A&E.

# Chapter 4: *An overview of current and future health and wellbeing needs of older residents*

## Ambulatory Care Sensitive Conditions

In 2017/18 there were 19,747 inpatient episodes of Ambulatory Care Sensitive Conditions (ACSC) for adults aged 65+ in Thurrock. This represents the number of inpatient episodes that could potentially have been avoided if a chronic condition had been managed better in primary or community care. Figure 25 shows the most common ACSC Hospital Episodes in Thurrock for those aged 65+.

Figure 25: Hospital Episodes for ACSC in those aged 65+ (2017/18). Source: HES



The top two causes for ambulatory care sensitive conditions are respiratory-based, and therefore could be influenced by work to improve housing quality (see sections on Well Homes and Transforming Homes). In addition, continuing to embed Making Every Contact Count principles across the wider front line workforce is key to earlier prevention or detection of conditions which could be managed within primary care and should not lead to an admission. This also underlines the importance of promoting healthy lifestyle interventions such as smoking cessation, and encouraging older adults to receive their free flu jab during winter months.

## 4.12 Delayed Transfers of Care (DTOC)


Reducing how long older people stay in hospitals can have benefits for patients, hospitals and reduce demand for adult social care. However discharging people from hospital relies on a suitable home environment which is equipped to meet their recovery and support needs. In 2017/18 there were 3,451 "delayed days" in Thurrock, which is a reduction from the number in 2016/17 (4,255). The latest data available at the time of writing this report was for April-June 2018, during which there were 385 delayed days in total. Comparing this to the same time period during the last two years, this is lower than the April-June period in both years.



Compared to its CIPFA comparators, Thurrock has very low levels of delayed transfer of care activity suggesting that the suite of initiatives commissioned from our Better Care Fund is effective in reducing DTOCs. Figure 26 shows the reasons for DTOC in 2016/17 and 17/18.

Whilst Thurrock has decreasing levels of delayed transfers of care, there are some delays caused by lack of equipment or a housing issue which have not decreased over time. This means there could be patients in a hospital bed who are well and could be discharged home if the correct equipment or adaptations were available, and consequently compounding the demand on the healthcare system unnecessarily.

Figure 26: Reasons for DTOC: 2016/17 and 2017/18 in Thurrock



The delays due to awaiting community equipment and adaptations could be due to either the NHS or Adult Social Care, it is something that should be monitored and could be unpicked further. Further information on the main types of equipment and adaptations accessed by Thurrock residents can be seen in the section on Housing Adaptations in the main report.

Chapter 5:  
*Current  
Housing  
Provision in  
Thurrock*



# Chapter 5: Current Housing Provision in Thurrock

## 5.1 Introduction


Understanding current local housing provision is key helping make strategic policy decisions on future provision. This Chapter summaries findings in the main report related to the borough's housing stock in terms of type, tenure, affordability, quality and suitability for older people.

## 5.2 Housing Type and Tenure


There are approximately 70,000 dwellings in the borough of which 12% are detached, 33% semi-detached, 32% terraced, 21% flat/maisonette/apartment and 1% bedsit/house of multiple occupation (HMO). The distribution of housing type is not uniform across the borough and varies considerably by ward. (See main report for more details).

The majority of housing stock in Thurrock (63.8%) is owner-occupied, and the rented sector split roughly evenly between private sector rented and socially rented (18.2% and 18.4% respectively). The data in figure 27 suggests a possible trend from owner occupied compared to privately rented over the last four years, although this change is not statistically significant.


**Figure 27 Trends in Owner Occupier and Privately Rented Tenure in Thurrock 2012-15.**  
Source: Sub-national dwelling stock by tenure estimates, ONS



**Figure 28 Home Ownership by Ward in Thurrock 2011.** Source: Census, ONS



**Figure 29 Rented sector in Thurrock by Ward, 2011.** Source: Census, ONS



Housing tenure varies considerably between wards (Figures 28 & 29) In the Homesteads, almost 90% of housing stock is privately owned, whilst in Tilbury Riverside and Thurrock park, this figure falls to only 45%. This will in part a function of both where the council's own housing stock is located and partly where private sector landlords have chosen to invest which in turn will reflect demand within the private rented sector. (Figure 29)

Existing tenure needs to be considered when planning strategic planning for future housing provision for older people. A high level of home ownership could also mean a number of older people in homes they have lived in for some time, and therefore the responsibility for adapting these for future needs would lie with the individual. Evidence shows that it is cost-effective to adapt a home in order to prevent falls, or onward admission to residential care because a person cannot live independently, equally delays in receiving adaptation can negatively affect the effectiveness of that adaptation. The data above suggests that support for and access to adaptations within Thurrock should be reviewed to ensure that owner-occupiers in need as well as rental tenants are accessing the necessary adaptations. Additionally, this data may assist in identifying the need for the proportion of homes by tenure that are built in the future, particularly in terms of specialist homes, where the lack of options to buy a property may act as a barrier to moving for existing owner-occupiers. A range of homes for older people, of different tenures, are likely to be required.

## 5.3 House Prices

In 2017 the average cost of a property in Thurrock was £275,000, which is higher than the national average (£230,000) but lower than our the majority of our geographical neighbours, with only Southend having a lower median house price than Thurrock [see chart below]. It should be noted that the percentage increase from 2013-17 in median house price was 59.6% in Thurrock, which was more than double the increase seen nationally (24%).

Lower quartile house prices show a similar pattern with Thurrock having the second lowest (£224,000) of its geographical neighbours, but higher than England's £151,000 figure.




# Chapter 5: Current Housing Provision in Thurrock

## 5.4 Housing Affordability

Considering median and lower quartile house price figures across all dwelling types risks disguising variation in price increases by house type. Figure 30 shows change in median house price by type of property. It suggests that the least expensive types of housing have increased the most in price, and at a rate that considerably outstrips England's.

**Figure 30 Trends in Owner Occupier and Privately Rented Tenure in Thurrock 2012-15.**  
Source: Sub-national dwelling stock by tenure estimates, ONS



**Figure 31 Growth in Average weekly rent, Thurrock and England 2007-8 to 2016-17**




Figure 31 shows the growth in average private sector rents for Thurrock and England. Rents in Thurrock remain higher than England's, perhaps unsurprisingly as rent charged is likely to be associated with the capital value of property (rental yield) and hence the size of mortgage required by a private sector landlord to acquire it. The growth in private sector rent is largely in line with England's which suggests that rental yield from property in Thurrock is falling. Social and affordable rent in Thurrock have risen by a greater amount than the private sector over the last 10 years (41.6%) although remain lower than the private sector. Growth in both private and social/affordable rent sector increases are likely to present affordability challenges to older people who do not own their own home, if their income has not risen at the same rate.

The data in the section indicate that whilst Thurrock could be seen as more 'affordable' than its geographical neighbours, the recent trends in both house prices and rents indicate this will not continue to be the case – particularly in flats. As Thurrock is still more 'affordable' than London, it remains an attractive prospect for families moving from the capital, thereby potentially reducing the housing stock available for Thurrock residents.

For older people who bought their property over a decade ago, these data are likely to be good news as they are likely to have benefited from significant capital appreciation of their house at a time of enjoying historically low interest rates on their mortgage. Should they choose to move, the capital that they have amassed could provide considerable choice in retirement. National evidence suggests that many older people are likely to under-occupy larger houses. Whilst modelling the impact of downsizing on housing affordability is complex, creating attractive new options for older people is likely to free up the entire housing market and may impact positively on affordability.

Conversely, for older people who do not own their own home, the opposite is true. Rents have risen at a faster rate than income in all sectors, making housing more unaffordable. If this trend were to continue, this will present future affordability to challenges in the future, particularly as younger older people's incomes drop as they come to retire

## 5.5 Housing Quality

Thurrock Council is currently part-way through a home improvement programme called [Transforming Homes](#), which aims to bring all Council homes beyond the Decent Homes Standard. The programme covers:

- kitchens that are over 20 years old
- bathrooms that are over 30 years old
- boilers that are over 15 years old
- electrics that are over 25 years old
- windows that are either over 30 years or are single-glazed
- roofs that are over 40 or 50 years old, depending on type

The work also aims to maximize energy efficiency and eradicate damp and mould.

The Council had improved over 7,800 homes as of March 2018, with the intention for all to be completed by 2021. Our data shows that there are 3,002 residents in council homes in Thurrock aged 60+ claiming housing benefit. This programme will improve the quality and mitigate the risks of ill health associated with poor housing.

# Chapter 5: *Current Housing Provision in Thurrock*

## Private Sector Housing Quality

Public Health has commissioned a *Well Homes* project over the past three years aiming to support residents in the private sector to live healthily in their homes by addressing home hazards and promoting health, wellbeing and independence. The service is considered to be an innovative and integrated approach as health determinants have been considered broadly with signposting to services such as, but not limited to grants to improve energy efficiency including home insulation and boiler replacement, together with employment support, debt management and lifestyle modification.

The project has so far focussed on older people, people with long term or mental health conditions, and people on low incomes, although it operates on an open access basis. Evaluation for the project between August 2016 - August 2017 reported positive outcomes:

- 910 people were reached, of which 246 (27%) were aged 60+. This resulted in 470 homes being improved.
- 879 hazards were removed, estimating savings to the NHS and society of **£1,542,455**.
- 203 boilers were installed by *Warm Zones*
- Thurrock Lifestyle Solutions (handyman service) carried out 152 jobs, the majority of which were fitting PIR security lights.
- Essex Country Fire and Rescue Service also conducted 736 visits during this year, installing smoke alarms, removing trip and fall hazards and conducting fire risk assessments and oven cleaning where needed.

To date a total of 2111 people have been reached over the three years that *Well Homes* has been running and due to its success two additional schemes are being piloted in the upcoming year, one of which is focussed around supporting *Well Homes* residents in Tilbury locality with long term conditions to better manage their illnesses from their home setting as part of the *Healthier Together* campaign. As a result, the budget for the programme has been doubled. Autumn 2018 will also see *Well Homes* being re-launched as an in-house service with a further evaluation of this arrangement planned for the following summer


## 5.6 Specialist Housing Provision for older people

The Council offers some specialist accommodation in the form of Sheltered Housing, which is targeted towards older people who require some support to continue living independently. There are currently 1,240 properties owned by the Council across the borough, with the locations mapped on figure 32. It can be seen that there are several complexes in the areas with the most older residents.

The most common additional need of our Sheltered Housing residents is *Hearing Impairment* (14%), followed by *Wheel Chair User*, *Mental Health and Visual Disability* (all 5%). Our data suggests there is currently unmet demand for council sheltered housing. There are currently 1177 applicants on the housing register who are eligible to bid for sheltered housing, with the Council having advertised 135 properties in sheltered housing, and receiving a total of 952 bids. This averages out at 7.05 bids per property, however the median is 6 bids per property.

The Council also provides Extra Care Housing operating an 89 unit scheme *Piggs Corner* in Grays for rent, and a scheme at *Elizabeth Gardens* in Grays were 69 units are available to rent or buy. There are currently 6 applicants waiting for Extra-Care housing, again suggesting a level of unmet demand.

**Figure 32 Sheltered Accommodation Provision in Thurrock by geographic density of population aged 65+.** Source: ONS and Thurrock Council




## Housing Adaptions

Thurrock Council undertakes adaptations to their stock where needs are identified, the most common being changes to make showers more accessible and the installation of stair or step lifts. This suggests that these residents have mobility issues which are affecting their ability to undertake activities of daily living, be it independently or with help. This data gives an indication of the important features to consider when building homes which are appropriate across the life-course such as the flexibility to include a graded floor shower without major works and at relatively low cost.

91.3% of Thurrock social care users feel that their home meets all or most of their needs, which is very positive as the aim is to keep people safe and well in their own homes for longer. There are however 7.5% of respondents who felt their home only meets some of their needs, indicating there could be unmet need for adaptations, and 1.2% feel the home is totally inappropriate, indicating a potential need for alternative accommodation. These results do compare favourably to England however, where only 86% of social care users feel their home meets all or most of their needs (figure 33 overleaf)

# Chapter 5: *Current Housing Provision in Thurrock*

Figure 33: Perception of ASC clients regarding their home 2017/18. Source: ASC User Survey



## Specialist Equipment and Minor Adaptions

Adult Social Care provides a range of equipment and home adaption solutions for residents with eligible care and support needs. Solutions are explored through the assessment process between social care staff and clients. In 2017/18 157000 pieces of equipment were provided to 53,430 clients ranging from simple daily living aids to assist service users to bathe and toilet, to more complex equipment designed to facilitate nursing care such as profiling beds and hoists.

## Telecare

Telecare is specialist electronic equipment shown can maintain function status and promote independence.<sup>16,17</sup> It can range from pendent alarms through to falls sensors, systems to turn lights, taps and cookers on and off or alert a central operator if a client has not returned to bed during the night after a specified amount of time. Evidence suggests it is likely to be cost effective.<sup>18</sup>

There is a large amount of work underway within the Council looking to embed technology enabled care in its future approaches to Adult Social Care. Pilot work happening in Tilbury and Chadwell locality is aligned with the roll out of the new approach to Social Care via the implementation of Community-led Support teams and Wellbeing teams. It is also forming part of the 'Connected Thurrock' Digital Strategy Connected Place theme.

This pilot aims to:

- Raise community awareness of telecare and telehealth equipment/devices/apps
- Encourage the take up of appropriate technology enabled care to support vulnerable people to be safe, independent and connected both within their homes and outside
- Support carers through greater use of technology enabled care
- Combat loneliness through connecting isolated people to the wider community and family and friends
- Encourage greater digital health literacy
- Prevent, reduce or delay the need for social care or acute health interventions (e.g. through falls prevents, swift hospital discharge)

However evidence from local residents indicates that there may be barriers to accessing these services (see Chapter 7). Local available data on uptake and cost of these services at the time of producing this report is patchy, and moving forwards, the Council should seek to ensure that the adaptations and telecare offer is evaluated fully to ensure they are being accessed, and are effective for those in greatest need.

Additionally, we know from national level evidence that the design of a home can impact upon the need for adaptations and telecare, the ease with which adaptations and telecare can be installed, and subsequently the cost to provide these. Moving forwards, consideration to the design of new homes should be given to make them appropriate and flexible across the life-course, and where telecare and adaptations are required these can be easily and cheaply installed. (see Chapter 2).



Chapter 6:  
*Attracting  
Older People to  
Alternative or  
New Housing*



# Chapter 6: Attracting older people to alternative or new housing

## 6.1 Introduction

We know that many older people remain in larger homes which have become under-occupied. These may not always be suitable for their long-term needs and this phenomenon could have negative implications for the liquidity and hence affordability of the entire local housing market. However, the older adult market is very diverse; some older people are interested in moving to smaller properties, some don't intend to move and some even want to upsize to a larger property. As older adults become a larger proportion of the population, it is important to consider their housing needs as well as taking into account their own desires and opinions relating to their homes. This chapter discusses the issue of attracting older people to alternative housing types, drawing on the national evidence base considers the national evidence base and local data including recent survey work undertaken with Thurrock residents.

## 6.2 Views from national work

According to a NHBC 2017 report,<sup>40</sup> two-bedroom homes were the most common choice for about half of those who had moved into smaller properties, this was followed by three-bedrooms which accounted for about a quarter of moves. This shows an appetite for smaller homes amongst older adults; and in fact, for those over 55 who moved into new-build homes, 39% had fewer bedrooms than their previous home. However, it is important to remember that not all older adults who are considering moving want a smaller home. The NHBC report also revealed that four-bedroom homes were desirable amongst the over-55s for the extra space, which allows for hobbies or friends and family to stay over. There is also a large proportion of those 55 and over who do not want to move at all.

It has been estimated that between a quarter to a third of older people are interested in moving and that about 25% of those interested in moving are interested in specialist retirement housing.<sup>41</sup> Flats were viewed favourably for ease of maintenance and security in some studies, as were bungalows, green space and a sense of community<sup>42</sup>. Retaining home ownership is favoured by those who are already homeowners<sup>42</sup>.

Reasons for wanting to move were diverse and include personal or family reasons such as the death of a partner or moving closer to family members, reduction in bills/running costs of their home, releasing capital equity, easier maintenance of home and garden and 'right sizing their home after reduction in size of household.

### Evidence on what facilitates older people's moving

Concerns preventing a move centre around physical difficulties in moving, emotional ties to their existing home and financial constraints. Conversely many older adults who do move report that they wish they'd done it five to 10 years earlier.<sup>40</sup> The following have been shown to be effective in facilitating downsizing:

- *Smoothmove* services that assist with packing, selling and storing of belongings
- Marketing of properties to reflect what is likely to be important to older people e.g. emphasizing nearby GP/NHS facilities, and good transport links
- Locations central to communities
- Technology including fast internet that allows Skype and better control over the home environment e.g. smart temperature control



## 6.2 Local Residents' Views

Survey and consultation work undertaken by Public Health with local residents sought to understand:

- The respondent's current housing situation and how well this meets their needs.
- What is important to the respondent in terms of the building in which they live
- What is important to the respondent in terms of the place in which they live
- What the barriers and enablers are to moving home in older age
- How older people could be supported to start planning for older age sooner.

A full evaluation report is included in Appendix 2 of the full version of this Annual Public Health Report.

In summary, the local survey reflects evidence from elsewhere – 'national views'.

- Increasing the stock of attractive and appropriate homes could increase the number of people willing to move as the top barrier to moving was the 'availability of suitable properties' and the top option that would encourage people to move was 'greater availability of preferred housing'.
- Older people want to remain home owners with 30% stating that they would consider buying their own specialist property, although interestingly 30% also said they would consider renting a specialist property.
- The most common reason for wanting to move was care needs. Important features for a new home were low maintenance, reduced running/maintenance costs, and level access highlighted.

# Chapter 6: Attracting older people to alternative or new housing

- The process of moving is difficult and costly and that Incentive to Move schemes may be beneficial; including 'Advice', 'Financial help' and 'Practical help'
- Just under half of respondents said that they would consider moving (47%), with an additional 24% stating that they would "maybe" consider moving which is slightly higher than national evidence.
- Less than half (44%) of respondents over 60 years old have started planning for their future housing needs (albeit 22% of respondents said they already live in specialist accommodation). However, just over a third of respondents have not yet started to plan.
- A call for better information/advice (evidenced by the 17% of people that say advice/guidance might help them plan towards meeting their future housing needs,

In line with the national evidence, a large proportion of people do not want to move at all. Of concern to local residents was finding out about local support services and the reliance on the internet for disseminating information. Residents commented that they often seemed to find out about services 'by chance'. Residents expressed a desire for face to face opportunities to speak to staff about their needs. Additionally, residents were concerned about the cost of services, such as adaptations and how long these took to receive.

In terms of place, it appears as if residents view the connectivity of their home as important, evidenced by them ranking 'close to family/friends' and 'close to town/facilities' as important. Through the conversations with residents, a sense of community emerged as a strong theme that was important to them and feeling that neighbours were looking out for one another.

## 6.2 Downsizing in council housing stock

Councils often offer incentives to encourage downsizing amongst older residents. Thurrock Council currently offers an incentive to existing Council housing tenants who wish to downsize from their existing property, both in terms of a financial payment (currently up to £1,000) and support arranging removals services. Further information on this can be found on the Council's website: Downsizing Scheme.

Table 1 shows a summary of the downsizing requests received by the Council to date.

**Table 1: Downsizing activity of existing Council tenants**

Year	Number of requests received	Average Number of bedrooms	Average number of bedrooms released	Payments issued by the Council
2015/16	77	Not known	Not known	£58,825
2016/17	51	Not known	Not known	£36,651
2017/18	82	2.89	1.47	£55,589
2018/19 (to date)	28	2.65	1.35	£22,527

National data indicates that the proportion of older people who under-occupy in socially rented properties is typically quite low (around 19% compared to 68% of owner-occupiers (68)), however analysis of this data suggests that the take up of the offer of removals support is still very limited.

The Council also runs a Right Size scheme aimed at older owner-occupiers who are happy to move into Council-owned accommodation for older people (e.g. sheltered, extra care or HAPPI) and lease their homes to the Council on a fixed-term basis. The scheme is open to residents meeting the following criteria:

- Aged over 60 or 55-59 with a disability
- Requiring sheltered, extra care or HAPPI accommodation
- Downsizing from a larger property – at least 2 bedrooms
- Willing to sign up a minimum 5 year lease with the Council

Details on this scheme are set out in the Housing Allocations Policy: Rightsizing Scheme. However the interest in this scheme appears to be very limited, with only one homeowner taking up this offer since the pilot launched in 2017.

This supports the residents view both nationally and locally, that there needs to be a range of pull factors to encourage older people to move, and no one size fits all.



Chapter 7:  
*Bringing it all  
Together:  
Summary of  
Key Findings*



# Chapter 7: *Bringing it all together: Summary of key findings*

At the outset of this report, it was stated that there were four key questions that were to be answered. The answers to these questions are summarised below:

## *7.1 What impact will demographic change have on the needs for new and existing housing stock across all tenures in the next 20 years?*

Within Thurrock, the over 65yrs+ population is projected to grow by 5% by 2020, and potentially by 46% by 2035. This equates to an additional 10,900 older people by 2035 albeit caution should be exercised with this projection. This population increase means that there will need to be a larger number of properties in Thurrock which are suitable for older people, be it mainstream housing or specialist housing. This broadly resonates with the current Housing Strategy (2015-2020) for Thurrock which proposed to build 1,000 new homes over the next five years (to 2020).

The proportion of new homes which should be mainstream homes or specialist homes is influenced by a multitude of factors, not least the personal preferences and wishes of the individuals involved. The survey undertaken as part of this report indicated that changing care needs were the most common reason for moving or considering moving, and our analysis tells us that by 2035 there is likely to be:

- An additional 2,600 older people who cannot undertake at least one mobility activity by themselves
- An additional 4,538 older people who are unable to undertake at least one self-care activity by themselves.
- An increase of 2.3% in falls
- An additional 1,147 people with dementia
- An increase in long term conditions which research suggests impacts upon the ability of an individual to self-care.

This means that there will be a larger group of people in Thurrock in the future who require support from health and social care services in order to manage their health and activities of daily living. Given the anticipated increase in population, and increase in people with health and social care needs, it is likely therefore that there will be a need for further specialist housing to accommodate the increase in the older population. Modelling the demand for specialist housing in the future is incredibly difficult due to the multiple influences on housing demand and supply, personal preferences and uncertainty about the future. The current older population is likely to be different to older people in future - retirement ages changes, medical advances, and different social and political attitudes may affect housing needs and preferences, additionally society is more mobile now and more likely to travel and less likely to stay in or around the place of birth or close to family members. National estimates have indicated that the demand for specialist housing may increase by anywhere between 35-70%.

That being said, even with an increase in supply in specialist housing there would not be capacity for every older person to live in a specialist home, and neither would all older people wish to, or indeed have a need to. In fact we know that the majority of older people want to remain living in their current mainstream home. This means that existing mainstream stock needs to be made suitable for older people, and mainstream stock built going forwards needs to be developed with the whole life course in mind.

Existing stock can be unsuitable, unsafe, unhealthy and insecure for older people. More than 5,600 households in Thurrock are estimated to be in fuel poverty and a local survey of social care users indicated that 7.5% of social care users felt that their home only met some of their needs which indicates a potential unmet need for changes to their home. The latter is supported by engagement work for this report in which 16% of respondents indicated that their home was not appropriate for them in terms safety and security, 15% in terms of proximity to health and leisure facilities, 14% in terms of accessibility, 12% in terms of size and social networks, and 10% in terms of their ability to cope and also quality of life, and 14% in terms of accessibility. Notwithstanding the small sample size of this survey, this suggests that a sizeable proportion of people in Thurrock are living in a home which is either not suitable now, or which they predict will become unsuitable as they age and this will have a negative impact on their health. There therefore needs to be appropriate support in place to mitigate these negatives.

Within Thurrock, initiatives such as Well Homes (for private housing) and the Transforming Homes programme (for Council housing) have tackled aspects of ensuring homes are suitable and the Well Homes programme has been evaluated recently to show positive outputs. Options to develop this project further are currently being explored.

Housing adaptations and telecare are also provided for Thurrock residents and a pilot is currently underway in Tilbury and Chadwell as part of the new approach to social care and Connected Thurrock Digital Strategy, to increase knowledge and take up of telecare. Evidence suggests that housing adaptations and telecare are effective and potentially cost effective mechanisms to increase the independence of older people living in their own homes, and they can be acceptable to the older population. There are however gaps in the evidence in specific user groups and in the UK context, in the terms of cost effectiveness, additionally residents views collected as part of this report indicated that there may be barriers to accessing these, for example in terms of waiting time and cost and also some older people may not know what options are available. This means that evaluation of local initiatives, including the Tilbury and Chadwell pilot are required to demonstrate how these may be effective, cost effective, accessible, equitable and relevant to the older population in Thurrock.

<b>High Level Recommendation</b>	<i>Ensure all older people who wish to stay in their own home are supported to do so for as long as possible, by providing appropriate and accessible information and services to meet the needs identified</i>
<b>Key Questions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• How can information about support services be made more readily available?</li><li>• Are there any other cost effective schemes that can support people to remain in their own homes?</li><li>• How effective is the local falls prevention service and how can it be improved to mitigate the projected increase in falls?</li><li>• How affordable and what are the waiting times for adaptations?</li></ul>
<b>Existing Assets to Build upon</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Stronger Together</li><li>• Community Hubs and Libraries</li><li>• Tilbury and Chadwell Telecare Pilot</li><li>• Lifestyle modification programmes</li><li>• Social Prescribing</li><li>• <i>By Your Side</i> home from hospital programme</li><li>• Tilbury and Chadwell Telecare Pilot</li><li>• <i>Well Homes</i> initiative</li><li>• <i>Thurrock USA</i></li></ul>



# Chapter 7: Bringing it all together: Summary of key findings

For new housing, the vision for Thurrock is to have a life course approach to ageing which includes ensuring that all new homes built are appropriate across the life course. Homes which are appropriate across the life-course are more easily adaptable and have features already which enable healthier ageing in place, such as good lighting and adequate ventilation. Despite the recent changes to building regulations to partially incorporate lifetime home standards, these remain largely optional; indeed in Thurrock these are not currently part of mandatory policy. This means there is currently little obligation or incentive for developers to build homes with these features.

Thurrock's current Housing Strategy (2015-2020) states that 100% of new council properties will be built to the lifetime homes standard and London space standards however it is unclear how many have actually incorporated these standards to date. Arguably limiting to only council properties does not go far enough. The ten HAPPI principles are widely regarded as the gold standard for not only housing for older people, but for all housing. These are not currently incorporated in plans for new homes as standard, although they are encouraged. To enable older people to age healthier in their current homes going forwards, all mainstream homes should be built which incorporate age friendly and life-course features such as those outlined by HAPPI and this should be reflected in the local plan.

<b>High Level Recommendation</b>	<i>Explore the impact of mainstreaming HAPPI design principles into planning guidance within the Local Plan</i>
<b>Key Questions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• What will the impact of the above recommendation be on encouraging new home building?</li><li>• Why is affordability of housing an issue in Thurrock? How can it be alleviated and mitigated?</li><li>• How should new developments best be quality assured during the design and building process?</li></ul>
<b>Existing Assets to Build upon</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Active By Design</li><li>• Secure By Design</li><li>• Health Impact Assessment expertise within the Public Health Team</li><li>• Council's Planning and Advisory Group</li></ul>

Existing stock can be unsuitable, unsafe, unhealthy and insecure for older people. More than 5,600 households in Thurrock are estimated to be in fuel poverty and a local survey of social care users indicated that 7.5% of social care users felt that their home only met some of their needs which indicates a potential unmet need for changes to their home. The latter is supported by engagement work for this report in which 16% of respondents indicated that their home was not appropriate for them in terms safety and security, 15% in terms of proximity to health and leisure facilities, 14% in terms of accessibility, 12% in terms of size and social networks, and 10% in terms of their ability to cope and also quality of life, and 14% in terms of accessibility. Notwithstanding the small sample size of this survey, this suggests that a sizeable proportion of people in Thurrock are living in a home which is either not suitable now, or which they predict will become unsuitable as they age and this will have a negative impact on their health. There therefore needs to be appropriate support in place to mitigate these negatives.

## 7.2 What types of housing do our elderly population want, and what are the impacts of choosing to move to a home suitable for later life?

Older people are not a homogenous group and should not be treated as such and it is therefore important to ensure that more suitable housing is defined by the older person and is specific to the older person's needs and preferences, rather than being a generic definition. The wishes of older people and personal choice should be respected; and evidence from both national level surveys and local engagement indicates that the majority of older people wish to remain in their current home and as stated previously, services such as adaptations and telecare should be available to support people to do this. From the MOSAIC analysis in Chapter 2 we know that the three biggest population segments in Thurrock are likely to own their own home which may present an issue with us knowing if any adaptations are needed or have already been made. The MOSAIC characteristics suggest that many of these households may not be confident with technology which may need to be considered if options such as telecare/telehealth are to be used or if digital technologies are otherwise used in new homes.

There is a high level of home ownership in Thurrock and evidence from the local engagement exercise indicates that 30% of residents would consider buying a specialist property and 30% would consider renting a specialist property (although these residents may not be mutually exclusive). However, in Thurrock, the bulk of sheltered housing is council owned (1240 properties); there are only 146 retirement properties and 18 age exclusive properties which are leasehold properties. This demonstrates that whilst there is interest in specialist housing; potentially there are not enough properties of the correct tenure. The Council and developers need to ensure that the tenure of future specialist housing matches preferences; certainly the national evidence indicates a shortage of specialist homes that are available to buy; and also that some older people are averse to leasehold properties which can also act as a barrier.

Our local engagement indicated that the most important property features are low maintenance or being easy to maintain and having own garden or some outside space. Accessible features and at least one space bedroom were also rated as important. Being close to friends and family and being close to a town centre were rated as the most important features of the area.

It has not been possible to quantify the impact of choosing to move to a more suitable home in later life on the individual (if that more suitable home is deemed to be specialist housing) because the evidence of effectiveness of specialist housing is very limited. Whilst there is some evidence from the literature of positive outcomes associated with Housing with Care, which can improve quality of life, promote health improvement and reduce social isolation, few studies have been conducted on other types of specialist housing. Scrutiny of schemes in other areas and the available literature tells us that there is no 'best practice' in terms of a model of housing which works for older people, as this is very much dependent upon the needs of the population who will be living there. This means that there is no specific model that Thurrock can exactly replicate to realise the same effects. There are some common themes which emerge however in successful case study models such as autonomy and control over living environment being very important and these can be applied to any new schemes to enable a wide offer of options to a diverse market of older adults. National guidance suggests that housing for older people should be co-produced with older people. For Thurrock, this means that there is a need to design and develop bespoke specialist housing alongside and in partnership with local residents which takes into account the themes evident from successful schemes elsewhere.

# Chapter 7: Bringing it all together: Summary of key findings

## High Level Recommendation

*With older people as active participants, develop and build a range of bespoke housing for older people and ensure the need for these specialist homes is reflected in the Local Plan.*

## Key Questions

- What are the best ways to engage older people throughout this process?
- How can we better predict the number and type of specialist homes we need in Thurrock?
- How can we best incentivise developers to build specialist homes?

## Existing Assets to Build upon

- Opportunities for engagement of older people through the Thurrock *Over Fifties* forum and *Older People's Parliament*
- Thurrock U3A
- Women's Institute

*When considering a move to move suitable housing, what would make the option attractive to our elderly population?*

A key action within Thurrock's Housing strategy is to create attractive housing options for older people that encourage independence and wellbeing. Evidence from national and local public engagement work suggests that a key pull factor is the availability of suitable and attractive properties and for older people to have a greater awareness of these options.

Around 25% of older people nationally, and 47% of older people surveyed locally, express that they would consider moving in the future. An additional 24% of older people locally indicated that they would "maybe" consider moving. Given the sizeable proportion of residents who are unsure, potentially many of these could be encouraged to move if the options available were suitably attractive and potential barriers were removed.

A key barrier is the lack of suitable properties as discussed previously in this section, however other barriers to moving identified through both local and national surveys include cost of moving, lack of information on the options, practicalities of moving, not wanting to leave current home due to sentimental reasons, risk of losing existing support networks or a wish to retain the equity in the property.

Evidence suggests that downsizing, for many, will not free up finances as is often one of the main benefits promoted to encourage older people to move. Additionally in Thurrock, the Council offers downsizing payments to Council tenants which has had some uptake, however a rightsizing scheme implemented in 2017 aimed at owner-occupiers has not been successful in attracting applicants since its inception in 2017. This means that there needs to be greater 'pull' factors which encourage people to move.

Moving forward there should be appropriate support with the planning and moving process for people who do wish to move, and to encourage those who may be open to but undecided about moving, information about housing options and awareness of the assistance with planning and moving available should be provided.

Evidence from surveys indicates that older people need to be encouraged to start to plan for their older age sooner and more advice and guidance on housing options may be a way to do this. More in depth resident engagement work needs to be undertaken to look into practical solutions to tackle these issues further. Additionally, there is further work that needs to be undertaken to identify issues around affordability of this housing.

*What impact does housing have on health and how can we enhance the positives and mitigate against the negatives? And how can we ensure they are better understood by those affected?*

It is widely accepted that housing can have a significant impact on health in terms of excess winter deaths and cold related ill health, indoor air quality, mental health including loneliness and social exclusion, falls, and demand and access to health services. Additionally, we know that the wider public realm can also have a significant impact, for example on social isolation and physical activity levels. We also know that housing can have a negative influence on health and wellbeing if it is unsuitable, unsafe, insecure and unhealthy, and these negative influences can be mitigated through provision of focused services. This report only considers services which directly impact upon the home itself and there would be value in exploring other services in greater depth such as home-sharing.

Housing Operations functions could be better engaged to affect health positively through encouraging and enabling a healthier lifestyle. For example, we know that, in Thurrock, there is a high rate of people with hypertension, with substantial numbers who have not yet been diagnosed, many of whom will be aged over 65. If not identified and managed appropriately these patients may be at risk of an emergency hospital admission. Housing provides a vehicle with which to try and impact upon these conditions and outcome - in terms of identifying conditions earlier, enabling people to better manage these conditions possibly limiting further deterioration, and also by preventing these conditions arising, or delaying the onset of these conditions through a healthier lifestyle, better access to services and increased social capital and integration. Health improvement work could be complemented with the continued support of Making Every Contact Count amongst front line staff, including housing staff, widespread use of community groups and hubs to increase service promotion and awareness of the consequences of not improving lifestyles for example.

We know that older people are much more likely to have long term conditions and whilst there are a number of programmes in place already, more could be done to embed them within the Housing work programme, for example, using communal sheltered housing complexes to host long term condition detection interventions, training more staff in Making Every Contact Count and ensuring housing improvement programmes such as Well Homes adequately identify and refer patients to relevant health services. We also know that, in Thurrock, mental health problems such as depression are set to increase in the future and the presence of poor mental health increases the average cost of NHS service use by each person with a long-term condition from approximately £3,910 to £5,670 a year. More could be done to embed depression screening into the day job of more front line staff (e.g. housing officers) who may have access to older people who would be hard to reach by other professionals and there would be benefit in improving pathways between mental health services and Housing. In addition, by building developments that encourage community cohesion and reduce the risk of isolation, we could reduce the risk of developing depression.

# Chapter 7: *Bringing it all together: Summary of key findings*

We know that more appropriate housing is likely to result in savings to the NHS. We know in Thurrock that many emergency admissions of older people could have been prevented with better managed care, and nearly 5% of all delayed transfers of care are due to awaiting community equipment and adaptations. For Thurrock, this means that there needs to be integration of housing into NHS pathways to ensure a holistic provision of services is provided, and also that the home is routinely seen as a place in which health promoting activities can be actioned.

Alongside enhancing the positives directly through appropriate housing, wider place making elements are also extremely important and can have a huge impact. The Housing strategy states that it will consider green space requirements for new council properties, however there is a need for further steps to be taken to ensure wider place-making elements are included and across all new properties. There are two aspects to this; firstly in terms of developing healthy places for all, and ensuring that residents of a place have opportunities for active travel, enabling healthy eating and having access to appropriate healthcare for example. The principles set out in the NHS Healthy New Towns Programme provide a good standard upon which to base planning guidance in this regard. This is important because keeping people healthy throughout the life course has an impact on how healthy a person is in older age. The second aspect is incorporating age-friendly features into a healthy place. We know that just under half of all residents in Thurrock aged over 75 have no access to a car or van which may mean that they have difficulty getting around, and 39% of older people live alone which may be a risk factor for loneliness or social isolation. This emphasizes the importance of giving due attention to the wider place making agenda. Evidence from around the world indicates that there are specific considerations with regards to transport, green space, community, safety and crime prevention, work and volunteering and the digital environment that may impact on the lifestyle and health of an older person and how active and valued they feel within a community. Whether building new mainstream housing with life-course features, or new specialist housing, it should be a key feature of the local plan that particular importance is placed upon the wider public realm with regards to these features.

To ensure these issues are better understood by those affected, we need to ensure that awareness and communication with older people is improved. Evidence suggests that older people do not know what is available to them, and there is a concern that if they do not use the internet as is the case with just over 13% of Thurrock residents, that there is a risk that they will miss out on help and support. Within the context of the Council's digital strategy, this indicates that there is a need to enhance the existing methods of face to face communication such as through volunteer hubs harnessing the skills of "younger older people" who are confident in using the internet, then considering whether there is a need to provide training specifically to older people to improve their competence and confidence in using the internet.

<b>High Level Recommendation</b>	<i>Ensure that healthy place making principles, such as those outlined by the NHS Healthy New Towns Programme, and age friendly features are incorporated into the Local Plan</i>
<b>Key Questions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• How can we ensure that these principles are being adhered to in the new place planning and design?</li><li>• How can we best encourage the development of Dementia Friendly communities?</li></ul>
<b>Existing Assets to Build upon</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Integrated Public Health and Place Council functions</li><li>• Stronger Together including LACs and Timebanking</li><li>• For Thurrock In Thurrock/Thurrock Integrated Care Alliance strategic working</li><li>• Community hubs and libraries</li><li>• Housing and Planning Advisory Group</li><li>• Public Health's Health Impact Assessments (HIA)</li></ul>

# Chapter 8: *Recommendations*



# Chapter 8: *Recommendations*

## 1. Ensure that all older people who wish to stay in their own home are supported to do so, for as long as possible, by providing appropriate and accessible information and services to meet needs identified.

Sub-Recommendation	Rationale	Chapter(s)
1a. Produce a single directory identifying the range of support services available to older people across the Local Authority, NHS, and third sector including adaptations, telecare and home help.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback from residents identified that they were not aware of what support was available and the process for accessing this</li> </ul>	6
1b. In line with the digital strategy, increase the availability and confidence of older people to use technology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback from local residents indicates that they feel that they miss out on support if they cannot access the internet</li> <li>MOSAIC data shows that are most common older population segments who may not be confident in using technology</li> </ul>	4,6
1c. As part of the strategic vision of 'Connected Thurrock' and the possibilities for future houses to be built with appropriate technologies embedded within them, undertake a detailed evaluation of existing/proposed telecare and adaptations services to ensure these are fit for purpose, equitable, effective and cost effective for Thurrock	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There is a Council strategic work stream around keeping people independent at home</li> <li>Evidence that it is acceptable to older people and also cost-effective</li> <li>Data we have got on our current uptake</li> <li>The sheer cost of a residential care/nursing home care package</li> <li>MOSAIC data shows we have lots of older population segments who may not be confident using technology – so we need to make easier to use and access</li> </ul>	
1d. Expand the Well Homes scheme to include an winter check for homes and further input into home energy efficiency.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The savings it has shown so far</li> <li>The reach it has had so far</li> <li>There are pockets of deprivation in the borough which will impact upon the ability to afford a home and adequately run in</li> <li>There are inequalities within the borough in terms of fuel poverty</li> </ul>	4,5
1e. Develop better pathways between EPUT and Housing teams in supporting the increased number of older people with MH issues.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The number of older people with mental health issues such as depression, dementia or psychotic disorders is set to increase in future years.</li> <li>12-18% of all NHS spend on long term conditions is related to poor mental health</li> <li>The presence of poor mental health increases the average cost of NHS service use by each person with a long-term condition from approximately £3,910 to £5,670 a year.</li> </ul>	4

# Chapter 8: *Recommendations*

## 2. Explore the impact of mainstreaming HAPPI design principles into planning guidance within the Local Plan.

Sub Recommendation	Rationale	Chapter(s)
2a. Develop an older persons housing strategy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• There is a lack of detail in the current housing strategy 2015-2020 relating to older people's housing</li><li>• The older people's population are not a homogenous group and require a specific and detailed action plan; evidence suggests that many local authorities do not have such a plan.</li></ul>	2,3
2b. Ensure there is buy in to HAPPI principles across the Council and the potential for this to be incorporated into planning guidance is considered.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Most people want to continue living in their own home, so housing needs to be appropriate across the life course.</li><li>• HAPPI principles are considered to be an exemplar for all housing, including both specialist housing and mainstream housing.</li></ul>	2

# Chapter 8: *Recommendations*

## 3. With older people as active participants, develop and build a range of bespoke specialist housing for older people and ensure the need for these specialist homes are reflected in the local plan.

Sub Recommendation	Rationale	Chapter(s)
3a. Co-Design and build a bespoke range of specialist housing for older people with older people. The foundations for this should be based on evidence of what has been successful elsewhere however the design should be tailored towards what the target group of older people in Thurrock specifically need.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Local and national residents views suggest that a key barrier to moving is a lack of suitable properties.</li> <li>Evidence from published literature indicates that the effective housing solutions involve older people their design.</li> <li>Encouraging some older people to downsize may have the benefit of freeing up some larger family homes.</li> </ul>	6, 2
3b. Undertake some focused additional public engagement on specific issues relating to specialist housing planning for housing in older age and the process of moving home. This may be as part of programmes such as “Your Place, Your Voice” or as separate exercises depending upon the topic and target group.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>National residents views indicate that there may be value in designing services which tackle barriers to moving.</li> <li>Questions raised through the local resident engagement suggests there would be value in exploring these issues in more depth.</li> </ul>	6
3c. Consider developing a package of support for people in terms of moving to include: help with removals, negotiating with energy suppliers, redirecting mail, selling unwanted goods, dealing with administrative and legal issues and post move support (subject to outcome of action 3b)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>National and local residents views indicated that that may be value in designing services which tackle barriers to moving</li> <li>There is an offer to council tenants currently; however this is not available to owner-occupiers or those privately renting.</li> </ul>	6
3d. Develop the quality and accessibility of advice on housing options available to residents.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Local and national residents views indicate that people do not know what is available to them or how to find this information.</li> </ul>	6
3e. Develop the relationship between sheltered housing and public health	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sheltered Housing complexes are distributed all over the borough, with halls in the areas with the most older people.</li> <li>There is an opportunity to improve these relationships as Sheltered Housing are reviewing their data collection requirements, plus they often have capacity to host PH events etc in communal areas</li> </ul>	5
3f. Produce a separate product seeking to identify the need for older people’s mental health specialist accommodation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Growing number of older people plus adults likely to have Mental Health crises</li> <li>Market position currently unknown – recent Market position statement did not drill down into this in much detail</li> <li>Other work has shown fragmentation of Mental Health and Housing pathways</li> <li>This is not within the scope of this report.</li> </ul>	4

# Chapter 8: *Recommendations*

**4. Ensure that healthy place making principles, such as those outlined by the NHS Healthy New Towns Programme, and age friendly features, are incorporated in the design process of all new homes in the Local Plan, whether mainstream homes or specialist homes.**

Sub Recommendation	Rationale	Chapter(s)
4a. Ensure that healthy place principles such as those outlined in the NHS Healthy New Towns Programme are embedded in place-making policy. This could be achieved by taking forward the draft interim planning guidance developed by the Public health and place team.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• There are a number of older adults at risk of loneliness (e.g. there are a number of lone older person households, many who cannot access a car/van, and there are 2,057 older adults we estimate to have depression currently)</li><li>• ASC survey findings – some residents say they are feeling socially isolated and can't get to all the places they want to</li><li>• Recognition of certain areas in Thurrock with lower accessibility</li></ul>	2, 4
4b. Ensure that age friendly principles are embedded in place-making policy.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Evidence from literature suggests that there are a number of place-making factors which can impact upon a person's health and wellbeing.</li></ul>	2



# References

1. Department of Health. The Link Between Health and Housing. 2018.
2. Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government. Lifetime Neighborhoods. 2018.
3. Warwick Law School. Linking Housing Conditions and Health: a report of a pilot study into the health benefits of housing interventions. 2010.
4. Sky News. Line 18: find out if your area has a housing crisis. Sky UK. 2018.
5. Department of Health and Social Care. Care and Support Statutory Guidance. Care Act 2014. 2018.
6. Murray, P, Manson, F and Alexander, P. Public London: Creating the Best Public Realm. s.l. : Mayor's Design Advisory Group, 2015.
7. Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government. National Planning Policy Framework. 2018.
8. WHO. Global Age Friendly Cities: a guide. 2007.
9. WHO. Creating age-friendly environments in Europe: a tool for local policy-makers and planners. 2016.
10. Restoring and Sustaining Home: the impact of home modifications on the meaning of home for older people. Tanner, B, Tilse, C and de Jonge, D. 3, s.l. : Journal of Housing for the Elderly, 2008, Vol. 22.
11. Park, A, Ziegler, F and Wigglesworth, S. Designing with downsizers: the next generation of 'downsizer homes' for an active third age. s.l. : The University of Sheffield, 2016.
12. Department for Communities and Local Government. The Future of the Code for Sustainable Homes: making a rating mandatory. 2007.
13. UK Parliament. 539 Creating Age Friendly Cities. POSTnotes. 2016.
14. Housing Improvements for Health and Associated Socio-economic Outcomes. Thomson, H, et al., et al. s.l. : Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2013.
15. Powell, J, et al., et al. Room to Improve: the role of home adaptations in improving later life. s.l. : Centre for Ageing Better, 2017.
16. Smart Home Technologies to Assist Older People to Live Well at Home. Morris, M, Adair, B and Miller, K. 1, s.l. : Journal of Ageing Science, 2013, Vol. 1.
17. Introducing assistive technology into the existing homes of older people: feasibility, acceptability, costs and outcomes. Tinkler, A and Lansley, P. S1, s.l. : Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare, 2005, Vol. 11.
18. Snell, T, Fernandez, JL and Forder, J. Building a business case for investing in adaptive technologies in England. s.l. : London School of Economics: PSSRU, 2012.
19. Local Government Association. Housing our Ageing Population: learning from councils meeting the housing need of our ageing population. 2017.
20. Parnell, J, Aldridge, H and Kenway, P. Market Assessment of Housing Options for Older People: a report for Shelter and the Joseph Rowntree Foundation. s.l. : Joseph Rowntree Foundation, 2012.
21. Croucher, K, Hicks, L and Jackson, K. Housing with care for later life: a literature review. s.l. : Joseph Rowntree Foundation, 2006.
22. Beach, B. Village Life: independence, loneliness and quality of life in retirement villages with extra care. s.l. : International Longevity Centre UK, 2015.
23. Baumker, T, Netten, A and Darton, R. Costs and outcomes of an extra-care housing scheme in Bradford. s.l. : Joseph Rowntree Foundation, 2008.
24. Netten, A, et al., et al. Improving housing with care choices for older people: an evaluation of extra care housing. s.l. : Housing LIN, 2011.
25. Kneale, D. Establishing the Extra in Extra Care Perspectives from three Extra Care Housing Providers. s.l. : International Longevity Centre UK, 2011.
26. Evaluating Extra Care Housing for Older People in England: A Comparative Cost and Outcome Analysis with Residential Care. Baumker, T. 4, s.l. : Journal of Service Science and Management, 2011, Vol. 4.
27. Holland, C. Collaborative Research between Aston Research Centre for Healthy Ageing (ARCHA) and the Extra Care Charitable Trust. s.l. : Aston University, 2015.
28. Housing LIN. The Business Case for Extra Care Housing in Adult Social Care: An Evaluation of Extra Care Housing schemes in East Sussex. s.l. : Housing LIN, 2013.
29. Wood, C. The Social Value of Sheltered Housing. s.l. : Demos, 2017.
30. Alzheimer's Society and BSI. Code of Practice for the Recognition of Dementia-friendly Communities in England. PAS 1365. 2015.
31. Dementia Care. Housing Options for People with Dementia. Discussion Paper. 2015.
32. Dean, J, et al., et al. Evaluation of the Bradford Dementia Friendly Communities Programme. s.l. : Joseph Rowntree Foundation, 2015.
33. Dean, J, et al., et al. Evaluation of the York Dementia Friendly Communities Programme. s.l. : Joseph Rowntree Foundation, 2015.
34. Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy. Fuel Poverty Statistics. Energy and Climate Change: evidence and analysis. 2018.
35. Fall Assessment of Older People. Close, J and Lord, S. s.l. : BMJ, 2011, Vol. 343.
36. NICE Guidance on Falls
37. The high cost to health and social care of managing falls in older adults living in the community in Scotland. Craig, J and al., et. 4, s.l. : Scottish Medical Journal, 2013, Vol. 58.
38. Health and Social Care Information Centre, 'Health Survey for England, 2005: Health of Older People. 2007. [online] Available at: <http://www.hscic.gov.uk/pubs/hse05olderpeople> [Accessed 14 Sep. 2015].
39. Smyth, C. Depression in old age 'is the next big health crisis'. 2014. The Times. [online] Available at: <http://www.thetimes.co.uk/tto/health/news/article4057224.ece> [Accessed 15 Sep. 2015].
40. Burgess, G, et al., et al. Moving Insights from the Over 55s: what homes do they buy? 2017.
41. Lewer, A and Platt, J. Communities and Local Government Committee Housing for Older People Second Report of Session 2017-19 Report Together with Formal Minutes Relating to the Report. s.l. : House of Commons, 2018.
42. Lee, J, Harrison, D and Baker, T. Assessment of the Housing Needs of Older People in Central Bedfordshire. 2017.